

Overtriage in Patients with Complicated Mild Traumatic Brain Injury: A Socio-Economic Analysis of 1447 Hospitalizations

Bradley Dengler MD; Robert Connor Chick MD; Sonia Plaza-Wuthrich PhD; Mark Muir MD; Viktor Bartanusz University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio Department of Neurosurgery University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio Department of Surgery Department of Neurosurgery centre hospitalier universitaire vaudois



Introduction

Transfer of stable patients who might otherwise be safely observed at a community hospital adds to the ever-increasing cost of healthcare in the United States. This study aims to show that over-triage of complicated mTBI patients leads to substantial costs to a healthcare system. Secondary outcomes are predictors of over-triage and transfer times.

Methods

A nine-year retrospective analysis of patients admitted to our tertiary care center that had mTBI with evidence of intracranial hemorrhage on computed tomography scan. Patients were dichotomized based on their mode of transfer (helicopter vs. ambulance), and admission status (primary admission versus secondary admission). Secondary over-triage was defined as patients with an injury severity score less than 15, no surgical interventions performed, and hospital stay less than 48 hours. Charges were calculated for each cohort and multi-variate linear regression analysis was used to determine predictors of over-triage.

Results

A total of 1,447 patients met the inclusion criteria for this study. 115 patients met the criteria for secondary over-triage. Secondary over-triaged patients are younger (median: 41y vs 60.5y, p < 0.001), had lower ISS (9 vs 16, p < 0.001), had a shorter hospital stay (1d vs 3d, p < 0.001) and a shorter intensive care unit stay (p < 0.001), had lower proportion of comorbidities (38.9% vs 58.0%, p < 0.001) and complications (0.9% vs 7.2%, p < 0.001). Secondary over-triaged patients had a higher chance of being discharged home and an average transfer time of 51 minutes. Lack of insurance was a major predictor of over-triage. Secondary over-triaged patients had an average cost of \$13, 294.

Conclusions

Significant over-triage of complicated mild traumatic brain injury patients leads to a cost of approximately \$13,000 per patient. Eliminating these transfers is another way to save on healthcare costs.

Learning Objectives

By conclusion of this session participants should be able to: 1) Define secondary over triage 2) Identify factors associated with over-triage of complicated mild traumatic brain injury 3) Identify ways in which to decrease the number of over-triaged patients

References

1.Ciesla DJ, Sava JA, Street JH, 3rd, Jordan MH. Secondary overtriage: a consequence of an immature trauma system. Journal of the American College of Surgeons 2008;206:131-7.

2.Sorensen MJ, von Recklinghausen FM, Fulton G, Burchard KW. Secondary overtriage: the burden of unnecessary interfacility transfers in a rural trauma system. JAMA surgery 2013;148:763-8.

3.Rao MB, Lerro C, Gross CP. The shortage of on-call surgical specialist coverage: a national survey of emergency department directors. Academic emergency medicine : official journal of the Society for Academic Emergency Medicine 2010;17:1374-82.

4.Medford-Davis LN, Holena DN, Karp D, Kallan MJ, Delgado MK. Which transfers can we avoid: Multi-state analysis of factors associated with discharge home without procedure after ED to ED transfer for traumatic injury. The American journal of emergency medicine 2017.

5.Lynch KT, Essig RM, Long DM, Wilson A, Con J. Nationwide secondary overtriage in level 3 and level 4 trauma centers: are these transfers necessary? The Journal of surgical research 2016;204:460-6.

6.Menchine MD, Baraff LJ. On-call specialists and higher level of care transfers in California emergency departments. Academic emergency medicine : official journal of the Society for Academic Emergency Medicine 2008;15:329-36.

7.Latifi R, Olldashi F, Dogjani A, Dasho E, Boci A, El-Menyar A. Telemedicine for Neurotrauma in Albania: Initial Results from a Case Series of 146 Patients. World neurosurgery 2018.

8.Brouwers HB, Chang Y, Falcone GJ, et al. Predicting hematoma expansion after primary intracerebral hemorrhage. JAMA neurology 2014;71:158-64.

9.Kreitzer N, Hart K, Lindsell CJ, et al. Factors associated with adverse outcomes in patients with traumatic intracranial hemorrhage and Glasgow Coma Scale of 15. The American journal of emergency medicine 2017;35:875-80.

10.Pruitt P, Penn J, Peak D, Borczuk P. Identifying patients with mild traumatic intracranial hemorrhage at low risk of decompensation who are safe for ED observation. The American journal of emergency medicine 2017;35:255-9.

11.Levy AS, Orlando A, Salottolo K, Mains CW, Bar-Or D. Outcomes of a nontransfer protocol for mild traumatic brain injury with abnormal head computed tomography in a rural hospital setting. World neurosurgery 2014;82:e319 -23.

12.Bekelis K, Missios S, Mackenzie TA. The Association of Insurance Status and Race With Transfers of Patients With Traumatic Brain Injury Initially Evaluated at Level III and IV Trauma Centers. Annals of surgery 2015;262:9-15