

Introduction

Craniopharyngiomas are rare epithelial tumors that arise from remnants of Rathke's pouch. Although benign histologically, these tumors frequently shorten life and effect significant morbidity due to their perilous proximity to eloquent neural and vascular structures. Accordingly, craniopharyngiomas are treated surgically. Alternatives in surgical approach, goal for extent of tumor excision, and use of adjuvant radiation delineate treatment options. Consensus as to optimal management remains elusive in light of conflicting data regarding rates of tumor recurrence and of significant morbidity following different surgical management modalities.

Methods

Patient Selection: CUMC Dept. of Neuropathology's database queried for "Craniopharyngioma"; "Cranial Pharyngioma"; "Adamantinoma" from 1993 until 2012. CUMC Dept. of Neurosurgery's billing database queried for ICD-9 code "237.0". Yielded 114 patients ? 40 recieved primary resection performed by JNB. **Clinical Review:** JNB clinical records: preoperative consultation and postoperative follow-up. CUMC inpatient electronic records. CUMC Department of Radiology Imagning Studies: OsiriX version 4.1.2. Software: Microsoft Excel, GraphPad Prism, and SAS software. **Scoring:** EOR: no evidence of residual tumor tissue on 3 month postopt MRI. **Progression:** recurrent tumor requiring a second resection. **Time to progression:** time from primary surgery to secondary surgery. **Approval:** All conduct reviewed and approved by the Institution Review Board of CUMC.

Conclusions

These findings suggest that the pursuit of GTR of craniopharyngioma by an experienced surgeon, without use of adjuvant radiation therapy, is an appropriate strategy for treatment in adult patients. Furthermore, this strategy may allow for resolution of tumor induced visual deficits in a majority of patients treated.

References

1. Michael E Sughrue et al., “Endocrinologic, Neurologic, and Visual Morbidity After Treatment for Craniopharyngioma,” *Journal of Neuro-Oncology* 101, no. 3 (June 10, 2010): 463–476, doi:10.1007/s11060-010-0265-y.
2. B E Zacharia et al., “Incidence, Treatment and Survival of Patients with Craniopharyngioma in the Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results Program,” *Neuro-Oncology* 14, no. 8 (July 30, 2012): 1070–1078, doi:10.1093/neuonc/nos142.
3. Isaac Yang et al., “Craniopharyngioma: a Comparison of Tumor Control with Various Treatment Strategies,” *Neurosurgical FOCUS* 28, no. 4 (April 2010): E5, doi:10.3171/2010.1.FOCUS.09307.
4. N Karavitaki, “Craniopharyngiomas,” *Endocrine Reviews* 27, no. 4 (March 16, 2006): 371–397, doi:10.1210/er.2006-0002.

TABLE 1

PATIENTS		
Patients	40	
Average age at surgery (years)	50 ± 17	
Female %	52.5%	
Follow-up (months)	85 ± 9.5	
EXTENT OF RESECTION		
Gross Total Resection	67.6	
Subtotal Resection	32.4	
Subtotal Resection: Approach		
Craniotomy	27.3	
Transsphenoidal	42.6 ¹	
SURVIVAL		
	%	Reported Range (%)
Overall Survival	87.5	83.0 - 92.7
OS: 1 Year	95.0	87.0 - 91.5
OS: 3 Years	92.5	79.5 - 86.2
RECURRENCE		
	%	Reported Range (%)
Recurrence: Total	17.5	10.0 - 100
Recurrence: GTR Imaging	4.0	17.0 - 62.0
Recurrence: STR Imaging	41.7 ²	33.0 - 100
	27.2 ±	
Time to Recurrence (months)	11.0	12.0 - 51.6 months
RFS: 2 Years	90.9	88.0
RFS: 5 Years	75.0	67.0
Recurrence: Approach		
Craniotomy	15.2	
Transsphenoidal	28.6 ³	
VISUAL SYMPTOMS		
	%	Reported Range (%)
Preopt Visual Symptoms	92.5	60.0 - 72.0
Postopt Visual Symptoms	65.0	40.0 - 62.5
Postopt Visual Improvement	78.4	
Postopt Visual Worsening	15.0 ⁴	36.0

TABLE 1. Summary of patient characteristics and the results of clinical review, highlighting EOR, OS, Recurrence, and Visual Symptoms. ¹p=0.413; ²p=0.004; ³p=0.396; ⁴Including 2 new

FIGURE 1

