

# Prognostic Factors of Patients Undergoing Surgical Decompression Following Traumatic Brain Injuries

Gabriela Moriel; Tatsuhiro Fujii; Frank Attenello MD; Daniel R. Kramer MD; Gabriel Zada MD Keck School of Medicine of USC, Los Angeles, CA Department of Neurological Surgery, Keck Medicine of USC, Los Angeles, CA



### Introduction

Over the past several decades, the rate of traumatic brain injury (TBI)-related hospitalizations and emergency room visits in the United States has steadily increased, yet mortality in these cases has decreased by 7%. This improvement in outcome is largely due to the strides made in prehospital care, neurocritical care management, and the resurgence of surgical techniques, such as decompressive craniectomies. Numerous studies have examined indications for surgical removal of traumatic space-occuping lesions as such as intracranial hematomas, but few agree on prognostic factors of outcome in these same patients. With the increased incidence of TBI, it is imperative to identify clinical factors predictive of patients who benefit from early mobilization of resources and operative treatment.

### Aim of Study

Identify prognostic factors for immediate outcome following surgical intervention.

Patient population: TBI patients with intracranial hemorrhages between 2008-2010 who received craniectomy or craniotomy at a level I trauma center. *Outcome*: Based on discharge location

- Favorable Patients discharged home/rehab
- Unfavorable Skilled nursing facility (SNF), step-down facility, hospice, death

#### Methods

Our initial search using Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) codes encompassing craniotomy and craniectomy yielded a total of 285 patients. We then exluced all nontrauma patients, procedures other than craniotomy or craniectomy (i.e. burr hole washout), repeat operations, pediatric patients (age <18 y.o.). This resulted in 181 patients who underwent either a craniotomy or craniectomy for evacuation of a traumatic intracranial hematoma during 2008-2010 at LAC+USC Medical Center (Table 1). We then examined patient charts and evaluated 13 parameters for prognositc value (Table 2 & 3).

Statistical Analysis: A Wilcoxon Rank Sum test was performed for continuous variables and Chi-Squared test was used for categorical variables.

Characteristics	n (%)	n (%) Characteristics		
Total no. of patients	181	Type of Intracranial Hemorrhage		
Mean age	43 15 ± 21 22	Epidural	46 (25.4)	
ineun uge	15115 = 21122	Subdural	134 (74.0)	
Sex		Subarachnoid	97 (53.6)	
Male	148 (81.8)	Intraparenchymai	20 (11.0)	
Female	33 (18.2)	>1 type of hemorrhage	127 (70.2)	
Race				
White	22 (12.2)	Operation Method		
Black	9 (5.0)	Craniotomy		
Hispanic	117 (64.6)	Unilateral	103 (56.9)	
Asian	20 (11.0)	Bilateral	3 (1.7)	
Other/Unknown	13 (7.2)	Craniectomy		
		Unilateral	62 (34.3)	
Admission GCS		Bilateral	0 (0.0)	
Mean	$9.29 \pm 4.65$			
3-8	79 (44.6)	Discharge Status		
9-12	27 (15.3)	Home/Rehab	46 (25.4)	
13-15	71 (40.1)	Skilled Nursing Facility	50 (27.7)	
		Step-Down Facility	44 (24.3)	
Midline shift on Head CT	134 (75.7)	Hospice	2 (0.01)	

## Table 2: Analysis of Prognostic Factors - Continuous Variables

		Favorab	e	Unfavorable			
Variable	$Mean \pm SD$	Median	IQR	Mean ± SD	Median	IQR	p value
Age (year)	$29.56\pm18.28$	26	19 - 39	$47.65\pm20.23$	49	30 - 63.5	< 0.01
GCS Admission	$12.96\pm3.32$	15	11 - 15	$8.04 \pm 4.38$	7	4 - 13	< 0.01
GCS Discharge	$14.52\pm1.23$	15	15 - 15	$11.61\pm3.03$	11.5	9 - 14	< 0.01
Operation Length (hr)	$1.4\pm8.68$	1.83	1.15 - 2.22	$1\pm5.88$	2.24	1.65 - 2.98	< 0.01
Length of Stay	$8.29 \pm 14.42$	4	3 - 7	$15.74\pm15.04$	13	5 - 21.5	< 0.01
INR	$1.08\pm0.07$	1.08	1.03 - 1.13	$1.25\pm0.43$	1.14	1.06 - 1.26	< 0.01
PTT	$28.34 \pm 2.43$	28	26.4 - 30.3	$30.75\pm5.57$	29.9	27.1 - 33.05	0.01
Degree of Midline Shift (mm)	$2.7\pm4.75$	0	0 - 3	$7.45\pm 6.57$	6	0.55 - 10	<0.01

### Table 3: Analysis of Prognostic Factors - Categorical Variables

Valiable5							
Variable	Favorable, n (%)	Unfavorable, <i>n</i> (%)	p value				
Race			0.52				
White	6 (27.27)	16 (72.73)					
Black	0 (0)	9 (100)					
Hispanic	31 (26.50)	86 (73.5)					
Asian	5 (25.00)	15 (75)					
Other/unknown	3 (25.00)	9 (75)					
Hyponatremia			0.17				
Pre-operative period	2 (25)	6 (75)					
Post-operative period	9 (15)	51 (85)					
Both pre-op and post-op	1 (20)	4 (80)					
None	33 (30.56)	75 (69.44)					
Hypernatremia			< 0.01				
Pre-operative period	1 (50)	1 (50)					
Post-operative period	3 (3.85)	75 (96.15)					
Both pre-op and post-op	0(0)	9 (100)					
None	41 (44.57)	51 (55.43)					
Fever	5 (7.94)	58 (92.06)	< 0.01				
None	39 (37.5)	65 (62.5)					

# Results

The following factors were all found to be significantly associated with a favorable outcome of going home or to a rehabilitation facility:

- Younger age
- Greater initial GCS score
- Shorter operative time & hospital stay
- Decreased preoperative INR & PTT
- Less preoperative midline shift
- Hypernatremia
- Fever

Race and hyponatremia during the hospital stay were not found to be associated with outcome.

### Discussion

This work supports some of the current prognostic models in the literature as well as identifies additional clinical variables with predictive value of outcomes in the pre- and post-surgical setting.

## Limitations

There are a number of limitations with our work:

1) Our experience is at a single institution over a relatively short study period

2) As with any retrospective study, we are susceptible to selection and information bias

3) We only examined predictors of short-term outcome

### Acknowledgements

Thank you to J. Peter Gruen, M.D., Steven Cen, Ph.D., and Tim Wen, M.S. for help with this project.

### References

- Brown AW, Elovic EP, Kothari S et al. Congenital and acquired brain injury. 1. Epidemiology, pathophysiology, prognostication, innovative treatments, and prevention. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2008; 89: S3-8

- Bullock MR, Chesnut R, Ghajar J et al. Surgical management of acute subdural hematomas. Neurosurgery 2006; 58: S16-24

 Cooper DJ, Rosenfeld JV, Murray L et al. Decompressive craniectomy in diffuse traumatic brain injury. N Engl J Med 2011; 364: 1493-1502

Faul M, Wald MM, Rutland-Brown W et al. Using a cost-benefit analysis to estimate outcomes of a clinical treatment guideline: testing the Brain Trauma Foundation guidelines for the treatment of severe traumatic brain injury. J Trauma 2007; 63: 1271-1278
Walcott BP, Khanna A, Kwon CS et al. Time interval to surgery and outcomes following the surgical treatment of acute traumatic subdural hematoma. J Clin Neurosci 2014; 21: 2107-2111