Cost-Effectiveness of I-Factor Compared to Autograft in ACDF



Paul M. Arnold MD; Samuel K Cho MD; Jun Kim; Michael G. Fehlings MD PhD FRCS(C) FACS; Rick Sasso MD; Branko

Kopjar MD



Univ. of Kansas Medical Center; Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai; University of Toronto; Indiana University

Introduction

Anterior cervical discectomy and fusion (ACDF) is a standard treatment for cervical spondylosis with radiculopathy or myelopathy refractory to conservative care. i-Factor[™] Peptide Enhanced Bone Graft (CeraPedics, Inc., Westminster, CO), a novel synthetic 15-amino acid polypeptide, has been demonstrated efficacious and non-inferior to autograft for singlelevel ACDF from C3-C7. We evaluated the cost-effectiveness of i-Factor compared to autograft in ACDF for patients with degenerative cervical disc disease.

Methods

Data was from a prospective, randomized, controlled, multi-center clinical trial in North America that investigated the safety and efficacy of i-Factor compared to autograft. Subjects received either i-Factor or autograft in a cortical allograft ring implanted into the interspace before plate placement. SF6D health utilities were used to calculate area under curve, representing total QALY experienced. Change in QALY compared to baseline projection represented QALY gain. Probability of reoperation was estimated using Kaplan-Meier product limit estimates at 6 years. Gross cost estimates were based on 140% Medicare reimbursement

Results **Direct Treatment Costs of Anterior** Cervical Discectomy and Fusion (ACDF) % of Cos DRG 473 Cervical spinal fusion with CC/MCC \$13,305 \$18,627.0 130% CPT Fusion of spine bones with \$1.823.26 \$2,370,24 22551 removal of disc at upper spinal column, anterior approach (ARTHRD ANT INTERBODY DECOMPRESS CERVICAL BELW Application of intervertebral \$782.89 \$1,017.76 CPT 22845 biomechanical device(s) (e.g. synthetic cage(s), methylmethacrylate) to vertebral defect or interspac ertion of spinal \$566.33 \$435.64 22851 nstrumentation for spinal stabilization \$22,581,33 0.20 Patient Demographics and Baseline 0.15 Information for the Patient Sample 0.10 (N=319) 99 (31.03%) 9 (2.82%) 28.84 (5.85) 21.77 (30.23) 127 (39.81%) 6.97 (1.99) 6.6 (2.41) 1.83 (13.96 4.72 (7.24) .54 (0.10) 9 (2.82%) 32 (10.03% 147 (46.08 131 (41.07

Results Cont.

Quality-adjusted Life Years (QALY) Gained by Year after Single-level Anterior Cervical Discectomy and Fusion (ACDF)

Year	Annual QALY Gain [#]	Cumulative QALY Gain [#]
1	0.1181	0.1181
2	0.1517	0.2698
3	0.1471	0.4169
4	0.1427	0.5596
5	0.1384	0.6981
6	0.1343	0.8323
	"	

Years 2 to 6 discounted for 3%



Learning Objectives

We evaluated the cost-effectiveness of i-Factor compared to autograft in ACDF for patients with degenerative cervical disc disease.

Conclusions

ACDF is a cost-effective method to treat cervical spondylosis causing radiculopathy or myelopathy. ACDF with either i-FACTOR or autograft remains a cost-effective option. i-FACTOR appears to be a more costeffective option due to the lower risk of subsequent surgery. Longer-term studies are needed to re-evaluate clinical and QALY outcomes between the 2 treatment groups.