

# The effects of surgical level on clinical outcomes following minimally invasive transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion: L4-L5 vs. L5-S1

Zachary Adam Smith MD; Cort D. Lawton B.A; Richard G. Fessler MD Department of Neurological Surgery, Northwestern University



#### Introduction

TLIF was developed to achieve circumferential fusion of the lumbar spine, similar to the anterior lumbar interbody fusion and posterior lumbar interbody fusion techniques, with the advantage of a reduced risk of complication. Recent advancements in minimally invasive surgery led to the development of MI-TLIF, which has been reported to reduce intraoperative blood loss, post-operative pain, and hospitalization.

# Mean age, operating room (OR) time, estimated blood loss, and hospital stay

	L4-L5	L5-S1	P-Value
Age (years)	63.63 ±10.75	53.24 ±13.31	0.014
OR Time (min)	181.84±37.07	185.76±58.65	0.937
EBL (mL)	101.32 ±72.87	102.94±90.52	0.627
Hospital stay (days)	2.74 ±1.28	2.47 ±1.125	0.587

#### **Methods**

Thirty-six patients with grade 1 or grade 2 spondylolisthesis, degenerative disc disease, and/or lumbar stenosis underwent MI-TLIF. Before surgery and at follow- up, patients completed the Oswestry Disability Index and Visual Analogue Scale for their back and leg, with outcomes compared between patients with L4- L5 involvement to those with L5-S1 involvement.

# **Surgical Indications**

L4-L5 Patients	L5-S1 Patients
10	6
2	2
6	6
1	3
	10 2

 $(\chi^2 = 1.89, df = 3, p = 0.596)$ 

### **Results**

The L4-L5 pathology affected an older age group with a mean age of 63.63  $\pm 10.75$  compared to 53.23  $\pm 13.31$  for the L5-S1 pathology (p-value = 0.014). The mean hospital stay, operating time, intra-operative blood loss, and hospitalization were not significantly different between the two groups (p-values = 0.587, 0.937, 0.627, 0.587). The post-operative questionnaire results show no significant difference between the two groups (p-value = 0.819 for VAS [back], p-value = 0.626 for VAS [leg], and p-value = 0.962 for ODI). Two complications included the development of a rash from an antibiotic and a case of post-operative nausea which resolved with discontinuation of narcotic analgesia.

		Pre-operative	1 Year	P-Value
VAS(B) L4-5 VAS(L)	VAS(B)	5.16 ±2.41	1.68±1.827	<0.001
	VAS(L)	4.74±3.03	1.68 ±2.18	0.001
	ODI	33.05 ±11.02	15.58±13.26	<0.001
L5-S1	VAS(B)	6.12 ±2.02	2.11±2.69	<0.001
	VAS(L)	5.76±3.09	2.00±2.71	0.002
	ODI	38.29±13.19	16.00±16.598 0.0	0.001

Pre and 1 year post-operative results for visual analog scores for back and leg pain (VASB and VASL) and Oswestry Disability Index (ODI). P-values were statistically significantly different comparing preoperative to post-operative values for all parameters tested.

	L4-L5	L5-S1	P-Value
Pre VAS (B)	5.16±2.41	6.12 ±2.02	0.235
Pre VAS (L)	4.74±3.03	5.76±3.09	0.299
Pre ODI	33.05 ±11.02	38.29±13.19	0.210
Post VAS(B)	1.68±1.827	2.11 ±2.69	0.819
Post VAS (L)	1.68 ±2.18	2.00 ±2.71	0.626
Post ODI	15.58±13.26	16.00±16.598	0.962

# **Conclusions**

There is no difference between the outcomes for patients undertaking MI-TLIF at the L4-L5 level compared to the L5-S1 level.

# **Learning Objectives**

1. To determine if the level of operation, a common consideration for open approaches, is important for minimally invasive approaches to the lumbar spine.

#### References

- 1.Enker P, Steffee AD: Interbody fusion and instrumentation. C linical orthopaedics and related research 1994:90-101.
- 2.Mummaneni PV, Rodts GE, Jr.: The mini-open transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion. Neurosurgery 2005, 57:256-261; discussion 256-261.
- 3.Rosenberg WS, Mummaneni P V: Transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion: technique, complications, and early results. Neurosurgery 2001, 48:569-574; discussion 574-565.
- 4.Dhall SS, Wang MY, Mummaneni P V: Clinical and radiographic comparison of mini- open transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion with open transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion in 42 patients with long-te rm follow-up. Journal of neurosurgery Spine 2008, 9:560-565.
- 5.Schwender JD, Holly LT, Rouben DP, Foley KT: Minimally invasive transforaminal lumbar inte rbody fusion (TLIF): technical feasibility and initial results. Journal of spinal disorders & techniques 2005, 18 Suppl:S1-6.
- 6.Holly LT, Schwender JD, Rouben DP, Foley KT: Minimally invasive transforaminal lumbar inte rbody fusion: indications, technique, and complications. Neurosurgical focus 2006, 20:E6.