

Deep Brain Stimulation Versus Vagus Nerve Stimulation in the Treatment of Therapy-Resistant Depression: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Lucas Ribas; Rogerio Aires MD, PHD; Paulo Henrique Pires de Aguiar MD PhD; Bruno Camporeze; Iracema Estevão; Mariany Melo; Thiago Salati; Vitor Lopes

Brain and Spine Neurosurgical Center /// Santa Paula Hospital /// LeForte Hospital

Introduction

The World Health Organization estimates that depression will be the third leading cause of debilitating diseases by 2030. At least 20% of patients are depressants resistant to conventional treatments (TRD), such that the modern psychosurgery has been becoming part of the therapeutic arsenal

Methods

A systematic review of the prospective clinical trials conducted in American and European centers by Medline and Pubmed from 2000 to 2016, p<0.05, n> 10, associated to a review of the references cited by all relevant localized studies. We obtained 66 references for vagal nerve stimulation (VNS) and 137 for deep brain stimulation (DBS), 10 studies of VNS and 3 of DBS were selected according to eligibility criteria. An exploratory meta-analysis was performed through the event rates found.

Learning Objectives

The goal of this study is analyzes and discuss the potential for the application of VNS and DBS in the subgenual cingulate cortex in patients affected by depression medically intratactable based on systematic review and meta-analysis.

Results

We showed the presence of 643 patients with TRD in the VNS and 58 patients in DBS studies. The VNS showed a response rate after 12 months of 42% (95%, CI=31.2% to 56.7%) associated to the remission rate of 22.3% (95%, CI=16.5% to 30%). While the DBS rates were 37% (95%, CI=22.9% to 59.6%) and 26.2% (95%, CI=15.4% to 44.5%), respectively.

Conclusions

Individual analysis of clinical trials demonstrates that both VNS and DBS are promising resources in the treatment of TRD, especially in the short and medium term, however most studies are not randomized. The meta-analysis revealed high heterogeneity due to the reduced number of clinical trials, technical differences and selection bias.

References

- 1. Kessler RC, McGonale KA, Zhao S, Nelson CB, Hughes M, Eshleman S. Lifetime and 12-month prevalence of DSM-III-R psychiatric disorders in the United States. Results from the National Comorbidity Survey. Arch. Gen. Psychiatry. 1994;51: 8-19.
- 2. Murray CJ, Lopez AD. Global mortality, disability, and the contribution of risk factors: Global Burden of Disease Study. Lancet. 1997;349:1436-42.
- 3. Joukamaa M, Heliovaara M, Knekt P, Aromaa A, Raitasalo R, Lehtinen V. Mental disorders and cause-specific mortality. Br. J. Psychiatry. 2001;179: 498-502.
- 4. Glassman AH, Shapiro PA. Depression and the course of coronary artery disease. Am. J. Psychiatry. 1998;155: 4-11.
- 5. Wulsin LR, Vaillant GE, Wells VE. A systematic review of the mortality of depression. Psychosom. Med. 1999;61: 6-17.
- 6. WHO: The Global Burden of Disease: 2004 Update. Geneva, WHO Press, 2008.
- 7. Hamani C, Snyder B, Laxton A, Lozano AM. Deep Brain Stimulation for Depression. Textbook of Stereotactic and Functional Neurosurgery, 2º Ed. Vol. 02, 2009.
- 8. Ramani R. Vagus nerve stimulation therapy for seizures. J Neurosurg Anesthesiol 2008;20(1):29–35.
- 9. Sakas DE, Korfias S, Nicholson CL, Panourias IG, Georgakoulias N, Gatzonis S, et al. Vagus nerve stimulation for intractable epilepsy: outcome in two series combining 90 patients. Acta Neurochir. 2007;97(2):287–91.
- 10. Schachter SC. Vagus nerve stimulation therapy summary: five years after FDA approval. Neurology. 2002;59(4):15–20.