

# Poorman ICP Monitoring System In TBI: An Indigenous Device For The Succour In A Resource Constrained Setting.

Shameem Ahmed MBBS, MS, MCh; Rajesh Kumar Barooah; zakir hussain Professor Gauhati Medical College and Hospital, Assam, India.

#### Introduction

ICP (intracranial pressure) monitoring system is an important tool in managing TBI patients. In developing countries like India, intra-parenchymal ICP monitoring systems are not feasible & intraventricular monitoring is not preferred due to high infection rates, also there is difficulty in placement in absence of ventriculomegaly. We tried to evaluate the feasibility and utility of indigenous, low cost subdural ICP monitor in TBI patients.

# **Methods**

Patients aged 18 years and above with GCS of 4 to 8, admitted within 4 hours of injury were enrolled. ICP was measured when there was doubtful or no definitive operable finding on CT head. Patients having ventriculomegaly, coagulopathy & unstable vital parameter were excluded. Sterile PVC infant feeding tube of 6 FG, further softened by boiling, is placed subdurally after making a bedside frontal burr hole and connected to a CVP manometer; sterile saline used as coupling agent. Total cost of consumables is INR 337 (USD 5.89). Based on serial ICP recording (upto72 hours), patients were grouped as high ICP group ( > 20 cm H2O) & normal ICP group ( < 20 cm H2O). Outcome was assessed at 6 months with Glasgow outcome score.

#### **Results**

19 patients were prospectively analyzed over 9 months, 18 of them were male with mean age of 34 years.

12 (64%) patients were in high ICP group, 8 of them had effaced cisterns on CT, all patients in this group were operated and had mean follow up GOS was 3.5.

7 (36%) patients were in normal ICP group, 2 nos of patients of normal ICP group had delayed high ICP and were also operated then. Rest of the patients in this group were managed conservatively and had excellent recovery (mean GOS 4.5)

## **Learning Objectives**

This indigenous ICP monitoring system may be considered as an attractive alternative to the standard costlier system, especially in resource constrained setting.

[DEFAULT POSTER]

## Conclusions

Our indigenous ICP monitoring system is feasible, cheap, easy to use & yield adequate diagnostic information for decision making.

Unconscious head injured patients with a normal looking CT head does not exclude raised ICP. Need for ICP monitoring should be determined by depth of coma in addition to radiographic imaging.

## References

- 1. Venes J. Intracranial pressure monitoring in perspective. Childs Brain 1980; 7:236–251.
- 2.Guillaume J, Janny P. Manométrie intracranienne continue: interêt de la méthode et premiers résultats. Rev Neurol 1951; 84:131–142.
- 3.Lundberg N. Continuous recording and control of ventricular fluid pressure in neurosurgical practice. Acta Psychiatr Neurol Scand 36 (Suppl 149):1–193. 1960.
- 4.Miller JD, Becker DP, Ward JD, et al. Significance of intracranial hypertension in severe head injury. J Neurosurg 1977; 47:503–16.
- 5. Vries JK, Becker DP, Young HF. A subarachnoid screw for monitoring intracranial pressure. Technical note. J Neurosurg 1973; 39:416–9.
- 6.Winn HR, Dacey RG, Jane JA. Intracranial subarachnoid pressure recording: experience with 650 patients. Surg Neurol 1977; 8:41–7.
- 7.Bailey BM, Liesemer K, Statler KD, Riva-Cambrin J, Bratton SL. Monitoring and Prediction of Intracranial Hypertension in Pediatric Traumatic Brain Injury: Clinical Factors and Initial Head Computed Tomography. J Trauma. 2011.
- 8. Chambers IR, Mendelow AD, Sinar EJ et al: A clinical evaluation of the Camino Ventricular Subdural Screw and Ventricular monitoring kits. Neurosurgery 26: 421-23, 1990.
- 9. Crutchfield JS, Narayan RK, Robertson CS et al: Evaluation of a fibre-optic intracranial pressure monitor. Journal of Neurosurgery 72: 482-87, 1990.
- 10.Ostrup RC, Luerssen TG, Marshall LF, et al : Continuous monitoring of intracranial pressure with a miniaturized fire-optic device. Journal of Neurosurgery 67. 206-09. 1987.
- 11.North B, Reilley P: Comparision among three methods of intracranial pressure recording. Neurosurgery 18: 730-32. 1986.
- 12.Narayan RK, Greenberg RP, Miller JD, et al. Improved confidence of outcome prediction in severe head injury: a comparative analysis of the clinical examination, multimodality evoked potentials, CT scanning, and intracranial pressure. J Neurosurg 1981; 54:751–62.