Lumbar Plexus Injury After MIS Lateral Transpsoas Interbody Fusion at L4/5 Level: A Review of 62 Consecutive Cases



Jacob Januszewski DO; Shashank V Gandhi MD; Konrad Bach MD; Andrew C. Vivas MD; Chun Po Yen; Jason Michael

Paluzzi; Juan S. Uribe MD

[Institution]

Click To Add Logo

Introduction

The MIS lateral transpsoas approach (MIS-LIF) has become an increasingly popular means of fusion. Its most frequent complication is lumbar plexus injury. Reported complication rates at the L4-5 disc space vary widely in the literature, bringing into question the safety of MIS-LIF for the L4-5 region. Single level complication rates have been rarely reported alone. We report our experience with lumbar plexus injuries, safety, and efficacy of MIS-LIF at the L4-5 disc space.

Methods

A retrospective analysis of our MIS-LIF database was performed from 2011-2016. All patients with a standalone or supplemental lateral plate or posterior percutaneous pedicle screw MIS-LIF at the L4/5 level were included. Patients with multilevel fusions were excluded. We analyzed lumbar plexus injuries and the date of resolution. Total of 62 patients of 303 met inclusion criteria. Twelve (19%) had an immediate postoperative complication, and almost all were transient and sensory. One had a delayed contralateral sensory and motor (2/5) femoral nerve injury from psoas hematoma. All patient complications except for 2 have completely resolved by the 12month follow-up resulting in longterm complication rate of 3.2%. The mean LOS and follow-up were 2.1 days and 15 months, respectively. The average ODI improved from 60 to 37 at the last follow-up. The VAS score improved from 7 to 3 at the last follow-up. There were no reoperations secondary to hardware failure or symptomatic pseudarthrosis.

Conclusions

Results

MIS-transpoas LIF at the L4-L5 disc space is a safe, reproducible, and effective technique with low rate of lumbar plexus injury. When careful surgical technique is applied, patient outcomes may be better than in multilevel MIS-LIF operations above the L4-L5. MIS-LIF at L4/5 also offers lower intraoperative and wound complications than other techniques for this level. Most complications that do occur are transient neuropraxia or mild axonotmesis, which resolve within 6

Learning Objectives

 Understand the surgical considerations unique to the L4-5 transpsoas approach due to the lumbar plexus anatomy.

(2) Identify the complicationspossible due to a L4-5 transpoasMIS interbody fusion.

 (3) Identify advantages of the transpsoas approach to L4-5 compared to anterior or posterior approaches for interbody fusions.

References

1.Ahmadian A, Deukmedjian AR, Abel N, Dakwar E, Uribe JS: Analysis of lumbar plexopathies and nerve injury after lateral retroperitoneal transpsoas approach: diagnostic standardization. J Neurosurg Spine 18:289–297, 2013 Available: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23259543.

2.Ahmadian A, Verma S, Mundis Jr. GM, Oskouian Jr. RJ, Smith DA, Uribe JS: Minimally invasive lateral retroperitoneal transpoas interbody fusion for L4-5 spondylolisthesis: clinical outcomes. J Neurosurg Spine 19:314–320, 2013 Available: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23889186.

3.Ahmadian A, Bach K, Bolinger B, Malham GM, Okonkwo DO, Kanter AS, et al: Stand-alone minimally invasive lateral lumbar interbody fusion: Multicenter clinical outcomes. J Clin Neurosci 22:740–746, 2015 Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jocn.2014.08.036.

4.Blake Rodgers W, Gerber EJ, Patterson J: Intraoperative and Early Postoperative Complications in Extreme Lateral Interbody Fusion An Analysis of 600 Cases. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 36:26–33, 2011

5.Cummock MD, Vanni S, Levi AD, Yu Y, Wang MY: An analysis of postoperative thigh symptoms after minimally invasive transpsoas lumbar interbody fusion. J Neurosurg Spine 15:11–18, 2011 Available: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21476801.

6.Isaacs RE, Hyde J, Goodrich JA, Rodgers WB, Phillips FM: A prospective, nonrandomized, multicenter