

# Laser Interstitial Thermal Therapy: Lessons Learned.

Alexander James Gamble DO; Cynthia Harden MD; Harold L. Rekate MD; Michael Schulder MD; Ashesh Mehta MD PhD

North Shore Long Island Jewish Health Systems

Cushing Institute of the Neurosciences



### Introduction

From 2009 to present 34 fibers have been placed in 29 patients for Laser Interstitial Thermal Therapy (LITT) at our institution. We have used LITT to treat intractable epilepsy secondary to mesial temporal sclerosis (MTS) and hypothalamic hamartoma, as well as recurrent tumors in patients who have exhausted their treatment options. This poster serves to describe our overall experience, success and complications with this exciting and relatively novel treatment modality.

### **Methods**

Visualase<sup>™</sup> probes were placed using CT and MRI guidance with frameless stereotaxy on four occasions, frame-based placement 23 times, under direct MRI guidance once and endoscopically once. Probe placement for the initial four tumor patients were assessed with intraoperative MRI before the LITT treatment was performed in the MRI suite. Early in our experience which was limited to tumors, frameless stereotactic probe placement with the Medtronic<sup>™</sup> Navigus<sup>®</sup> and Precision Aiming Device<sup>®</sup> systems was utilized as it allowed for intraoperative MRI compatibility. From the 6th patient in our series on, intraoperative MRI was abandoned and the frame based Integra<sup>™</sup> CRW<sup>®</sup> system used exclusively.

## Results

For MTLE, favorable Engel (1+2) outcome was seen in 14/15, 8/11, 4/9 and 1/2 at 3 months, 6 months, 1 year and 2 years, with one failure undergoing temporal lobectomy. 7/10 tumor patients had progression-free survival, with one requiring subsequent craniotomy. All 4 patients with hypothalamic hamartoma have experienced excellent resolution of their symptoms to date without complication. Malposition occured in one epilepsy patient when an alignment rod was not used to create a track. In one tumor patient, a probe was malpositioned when using FS, and this was not visualized with intraoperative MRI. The malposition was detected in the MRI suite resulting in abortion of the procedure. This case, in addition to the cumbersome use of the Navigus® trajectory guide outside the OR prompted the move to a frame based procedure only. Two hemorrhages occurred in patients with MTLE: One intraparenchymal temporal likely secondary to a vascular injury

and an intraventricular hemorrhage which occurred in a patient with excessive movement in MRI under sedationlikely resulted in the breakage of the cooling catheter. Thereafter, in all patients a preoperative CT Angiogram was merged with the stereotactic MRI used for probe trajectory planning. In addition, all further cases were done under general anesthesia. Two complications resulted from the LITT itself. One patient with a glioblastoma of the deep vermis developed bilateral CN 6 and 7 palsies. A patient with a filum terminale ependymoma developed paraparesis and incontinence the day after treatment and required surgical resection.

				Hypothalamic Ha					
Subject DOS	Age Sex	Pathology		ptoms and Previous tment	Approach and Adjustments		Response	to Treatment to Date	
<b>16</b> 2/12/14	40M							Seizure free. Significant improvement in rage attacks	
<b>17</b> 2/14/14	25F	Bilat reminant, R=4mm, L=2mm	Tran gela Retu	Transcallosal resection age 13 for gelastic and generalized seizures. Return of gelastic and simple partial seizures at age 23.		Right frontal Probe advanced 3mm. SRS performed to		Complete resolution	
<b>18</b> 5/28/14	ЗF	14x14mm Le		Gelastic and generalized seizures. Rage attacks.		left remnant POD3 Left frontal Probe advanced Smm. Found to be in cistern and		Complete resolution	
19	16M	9.5x9.5 Righ	t Ende	oscopic resection at age 7 for		drawn 1cm		Resolution	
7/24/14	10141	5.585.5 (16)	gela	stic seizures and rage attacks minimal improvement.	Withdrawn 1.5cm		complete resolution		
				Se	izure				
Subject DOS	Age Sex	Pathology	Anesthesi	thesia Number of Probes Lesions Created Compl		Complicat	ions	Rationale	
1	32M	R MTS, Prev R occipital astrocytoma resection	Sedation	1 Probe Anterior and posterior hippor	campus (2)	Probe placed posterior to a Only hippod ablation per		Improper placement in	
2 3/29/12	30F	LMTS	Sedation	1 Probe Amygdala, ant/post hippoca	Quadranta mpus (3) without he			Lesion created too large	
3 5/30/12	50F	R MTS	GETA	1 Probe Amygdala, ant/post hippocampus (3)					
4 9/11/12	60M	RMTS	Sedation	1 Probe Amygdala, Ant/medial/post hippocampus (4)					
5	32F	R Parietal focus, R MTS	Sedation	1 Probe Amygdala, ant/post hippocampus (3)					
6	75F	L Mesial Temporal DNET	Sedation	1 Probe Amygdala + tumor (2)					
7 12/10/12	49F	Bitemporal R>>L	Sedation	1 Probe Amygdala, ant/post hippoca	impus (3)				
8	40F	RMTS	Sedation	2 Probes (ant/medial and po Amyg/hippocampal head (2	sterior)	Intraventricular hemorrhage		Patient motion caused breakage of cooling catheter	

Subject DOS	Age Sex	Pathology	Anesthesia	Number of Probes Lesions Created	Complications	Rationale
1	32M	R MTS, Prev R occipital astrocytoma resection	Sedation	1 Probe Anterior and posterior hippocampus (2)	Probe placed 2cm posterior to amygdala. Only hippocampal ablation performed	Improper placement in C
2 3/29/12	30F	LMTS	Sedation	1 Probe Amygdala, ant/post hippocampus (3)	Quadrantanopsia without hematoma	Lesion created too large
3 5/30/12	50F	RMTS	GETA	1 Probe Amygdala, ant/post hippocampus (3)		
) )/11/12	60M	RMTS	Sedation	1 Probe Amygdala, Ant/medial/post hippocampus (4)		
5	32F	R Parietal focus, R MTS	Sedation	1 Probe Amygdala, ant/post hippocampus (3)		
6	75F	L Mesial Temporal DNET	Sedation	1 Probe Amygdala + tumor (2)		
7 12/10/12	49F	Bitemporal R>>L	Sedation	1 Probe Amygdala, ant/post hippocampus (3)		
B 1/4/13	40F	RMTS	Sedation	2 Probes (ant/medial and posterior) Amyg/hippocampal head (2), tail (1)	Intraventricular hemorrhage	Patient motion caused breakage of cooling catheter
9 1/11/13	60F	Bitemporal R>>L	GETA	2 Probes (ant/medial and posterior) Amyg/hippocampal head (2), tail (1)	Scm temporal hematoma resulting quandrantanopsia.	Anterior probe pass caused vascular injury. A subsequent cases have CTA/MRI fusion
10 5/28/13	43M	RMTS	GETA	1 Probe Amygdala and hippocampal head (2)		
11 8/28/13	52F	LMTS	GETA	1 Probe Temporal tip, Amygdala, hippocampal head, body and tail (6)		
12	57F	LMTS	GETA	1 Probe Temporal tip, amygdala, hippocampal head and body (4)		
13	42M	LMTS	GETA	1 Probe Temporal tip, amygdala, hippocampal head and body (4)		
14	32F	LMTS	GETA	1 Probe Temporal tip, amygdala, hippocampal head and body (4)		
15	41M	LMTS	GETA	1 Probe Temporal tip, amygdala, hippocampal head and body (4)		

#### Conclusions

LITT may be used to treat brain tumors, HH and MTLE with comparable outcomes to open procedures. To optimize outcome, we suggest:

- 1. Use of an alignment rod to create a tract for deep structures
- 2. Use of frame-based techniques
- 3. Orthogonal entry of the laser probe

4. General anesthesia to reduce movement during transport and ablation procedure

5. Caution for spinal tumors

6. Maintain a margin beyond the treatment region near critical structures (e.g. the brain stem)

Subject	LO	)S En	gel Classificati	lamic Hamartoma on				
Jubjeet	(Days)		3 Month	6 Month	1 Y	'ear		2 Year
1	(50		2	2		3		3
2			1	2		2		2
				_	_	_	_	1
3	1		1	1		1		1
4	1		1	4		3		
5	1		1	2		3		
6	1	L	1					
7	3	3	3	4		4		
8	3		1	3		3		
9	3		1	1		1		
<u>,</u> 10			2	-		•	_	
	1			1				
11	1		1	1		4		
12	1		1	3		2		
13	1		1	1				
14	1		1	1		1		
15	2		1					
16	1		1	1				
17	1		1	1				
17 18	1		-	1				
		-						
19	1.37		4.04(1=)	4		(4.0)		
Ave	1	57	1.24(17)	1.8(15)	2.45	(11)		2(3)
Californi	1.00		Decederate T		Local Contro	012	Mark	(D.
Subject	LOS		Previous T	reatment	(Days to Recurrence		Nat	ure of Recurrence
1	6	WDDT for	multiple tours	s 18 mo prior. One right	Recurrence	e)		
1	0			d with SRS with further	res			
		Irontai tu	nor grew, treate	th				
2	12	WPPT for r	grow nultiple tumore i	with continued growth o	f Yes		_	
4	12			controlled by SRS	1 105			
3	4	Three trans	phenoidal and tw	s. Yes				
	1			nist and temozolomide	3. 103			
4	3	Multiple	esions, large cere	ebellar tumor resection.	No (44)	He	mor	rhagic lesion continu
-	-	SRS to a	ll lesions with go	od control except left		to	grov	v. Underwent
			tempora	l mass		cra	aniot	tomy and resection a
			-			71	day	s post LITT
5	3	Single	falcine based rig	ht parietal mass with	Yes			
		recurre	nces after 3 rese	ctions, WBRT and SRS				
6	27			and resection on two	Yes			
		occa	occasions, a decade apart. XRT. Temodar					
7	10	Pr	evious resection	No (57)	MI	RI de	emonstrating regrow	
8	2		previous resecti	Yes	_			
9	10		previous resection	Yes				
10	21	Two pr	evious resection:	s, SRS, XRT, Temodar	No (17)			vth and massive
						ler	otom	eningeal spread
				Tumor				
Subject	Pa	thology	Location	#Of Fibers #Of Lesions			Complications	
Age/Sex				Stereotactic Method	#OI Lesions			
	Lung		Right Temporal	Sedation	1			
зм	B		ragine reinportai	Frameless (Navigus®)	4			
3/26/09				Intraoperative MRI				
2	Breast		Pontine	GETA	1			
89F 1/18/09				Frameless (Navigus®) Intraoperative MRI	3			
3	Macroaden	oma	Sellar,	GETA	2			
58F	acrouden		Suprasellar	Endoscopic	6			
5/14/10				Intraoperative MRI				
4 53F	Melanoma		Left Temporal	GETA	2			
				Frameless (PAD®)	4			
	Lung		Right Parietal	GETA	2	Inaccu	rate n	lacement, first attempt
5				1. Frameless (PAD®)	6	aborted	d. Suc	cessful ablation on later
5 6F				2.Frameless (Navigus®)		visit		
5 56F	-			Both Intraoperative MRI	1	Darara	racic	and incontinence POD 1
5 56F 2/7/11		onanillanı	Cauda Equire			rarapa	ng in l	aminectomy and resect
5 56F 2/7/11	Spinal Myx	opapillary ma	Cauda Equina	Sedation MRI Guidance	ĩ			
5 56F 2/7/11 6 72F 3/2/11	Spinal Myx Ependymo	ma		MRI Guidance	1	resulti		
5 56F 2/7/11 6 72F 3/2/11 7	Spinal Myx Ependymo Adenocarci	opapillary ma noma(unknowr		MRI Guidance GETA	1	resulti	0	
5 56F 2/7/11 6 72F 8/2/11 7 37F	Spinal Myx Ependymo	ma		MRI Guidance	1	resulti	0	
56F 2/7/11 6 72F 3/2/11 7	Spinal Myx Ependymo Adenocarci	ma	Right Parietal	MRI Guidance GETA	1 1 3 1	resulti		
5 56F 2/7/11 6 72F 3/2/11 7 37F 11/9/12 8 43F	Spinal Myx Ependymo Adenocarc primary)	ma		MRI Guidance GETA Frame Based (CRW®)	1 1 3	resulti		
5 56F 2/7/11 6 72F 3/2/11 7 37F 11/9/12 8 43F 5/1/13	Spinal Myx Ependymo Adenocarc: primary) Breast	ma	Right Parietal	MRI Guidance GETA Frame Based (CRW®) GETA Frame Based (CRW®)	1 1 3 1 2	resulti		
5 56F 2/7/11 6 72F 3/2/11 7 77 77 77 77 77 8 11/9/12 8 13F 5/1/13 9	Spinal Myx Ependymo Adenocarc primary)	ma	Right Parietal	MRI Guidance GETA Frame Based (CRW®) GETA Frame Based (CRW®) GETA	1 1 3 1 2 1			
5 56F 2/7/11 6 72F 3/2/11 7 77 77 77 77 8 43F 5/1/13 9 54F	Spinal Myx Ependymo Adenocarc: primary) Breast	ma	Right Parietal	MRI Guidance GETA Frame Based (CRW®) GETA Frame Based (CRW®)	1 1 3 1 2			
5 56F 2/7/11 6 72F 3/2/11 7 37F 11/9/12 8 43F 5/1/13	Spinal Myx Ependymo Adenocarc: primary) Breast	ma noma(unknowr	Right Parietal	MRI Guidance GETA Frame Based (CRW®) GETA Frame Based (CRW®) GETA	1 1 3 1 2 1			6 and 7 palsy