

Posterior Cervical Fusion for the Treatment of Symptomatic Pseudoarthrosis After ACDF

Benjamin D. Elder MD PhD; Eric W. Sankey BS; Mohamad Bydon MD; C. Rory Goodwin MD, PhD; Allen Belzberg MD; Jean-Paul Wolinsky MD; Daniel M. Sciubba BS, MD; Ziya L. Gokaslan MD; Ali Bydon MD; Timothy F. Witham BS MD Department of Neurosurgery, The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine



Introduction

Pseudoarthrosis occurs after ~2-20% of ACDF procedures; it is unclear if posterior versus anterior revision should be pursued. In this study, we evaluate the outcomes in 22 patients with pseudoarthrosis following ACDF and revision via posterior cervical fusion (PCF).

Methods

22 patients with pseudoarthrosis after ACDF, between 1995 and 2013, were retrospectively reviewed. Demographics, symptoms and operative data were collected. The time to fusion failure, symptoms of pseudoarthrosis and revision method were assessed. Neck pain was evaluated via a visual analog scale (VAS). Fusion was radiographically examined. Clinical outcome was determined at last follow-up (LFU).

Age at index ACDF, years, median (range) 51 (3 Sex, female, n (%) 131 BMI, kyfm*, median (range) 29 (2 Smoking history, n (%) 7 (Index ACDF Characteristics All pain (New ACDF, n (%) ACDF at an outside institution, n (%) 7 (indication for index ACDF, n (%) 150 DDD/disc hemistor/spondylosis 15 ASD 6 (Revision for prior pseudarthrosis 1 (Symptoms/signs at original presentation, n (%) 20 Neck pain 20 Myelopathy 17 Radiculopathy 17 Number of levels, median (range) 2 (Graft/plate used, n (%) 8 (Plate only 8 (Both 1 (Both 2 (atient Characteristics	All patient (N=22)
Sex, female, n (%) 13. BMI, kgm², median (range) 29 (2) Smoking history, n (%) 7 (Index ACDF Characteristics All pack (New ACDF) ACDF at an outside institution, n (%) 7 (Indication for index ACDF, n (%) 15 DDD/disc herniation/spondylosis 15 ASD 6 (Revision for prior pseudarthrosis 1 (Symptoms/signs at original presentation, n (%) 20 Neck pain 20 Myelopathy 9 (Radiculopathy 17 Number of levels, median (range) 2 (Graft only 8 (Plate only 1 (Both 13 EBL, median (range) 50 (3) Collar at discharge, n (%) 8 (Postoperative complications, n (%)³ 2 Pseudarthrosis Characteristics All pack Time to pseudarthrosis from index ACDF, months, median (range) 11 (3 Highest level of involvement, n (%) (New Collar) C3/C4 3 (C4/C5 3 (</td <td>ge at index ACDF, years, median (range)</td> <td>51 (33-67</td>	ge at index ACDF, years, median (range)	51 (33-67
BMI, kg/m², median (range) 29 (2 Smoking history, n (%) 7 (Index ACDF Characteristics All pas (Ne) ACDF at an outside institution, n (%) 7 (Indication for index ACDF, n (%) 15. ASD 6 (Revision for prior pseudarthrosis 1 is Symptoms/signs at original presentation, n (%) 20. Neck pain 20. Myelopathy 9 (Radiculopathy 17. Number of levels, median (range) 2 (Graft only 8 (Plate only 1 sold Both 1 sold Collar at discharge, n (%) 8 (Pesudarthrosis Characteristics All pa Time to pseudarthrosis from index ACDF, months, median (range) 11 (3 Cil/C2 0 C3/C4 3 (C4/C5 3 (C5/C6 7 (Cingle vs. Multilevel, n (%) 3 (Single Multiple Multiple 5 (Multiple 1 (Multiple 1 (<td>ex, female, n (%)</td> <td>13 (59)</td>	ex, female, n (%)	13 (59)
Smoking history, n (%) 7 (Index ACDF Characteristics All pa (Ne) ACDF at an outside institution, n (%) 7 (Indication for index ACDF, n (%) 15 DDD/disc herniation/spondylosis 15 ASD 6 (Revision for prior pseudarthrosis 1 is Symptoms/signs at original presentation, n (%) 20 Neck pain 20 Myelopathy 17 Radiculopathy 17 Number of levels, median (range) 2 (Graft only 8 (Plate only 1 is Both 13 EBL, median (range) 50 (3) Collar at discharge, n (%) 8 (Postoperative complications, n (%)³ 2 (Pseudarthrosis Characteristics All pa Time to pseudarthrosis from index ACDF, months, median (range) 11 (3) Highest level of involvement, n (%) 0 C1/C2 0 0 C3/C4 3 (C4/C5 3 (C5/C6 7 (C6/C7 9 (Single vs. Multilevel, n (%) 5 (Single vs. Multilevel, n (mange) 1 (MI, kg/m², median (range)	29 (21-42
ACDF at an outside institution, n (%) 7 (7 (32)
ACDF at an outside institution, n (%) Indication for index ACDF, n (%) DDD/disc herniation/spondylosis ASD Revision for prior pseudarthrosis Symptoms/signs at original presentation, n (%) Neck pain Myelopathy Radiculopathy Radiculopathy Radiculopathy (Graft only) Sort only Both BEIL, median (range) Collar at discharge, n (%) Pseudarthrosis Characteristics All pa Time to pseudarthrosis from index ACDF, months, median (range) C1/C2 C2/C3 C3/C4 C4/C5 C5/C6 C6/C7 Single vs. Multilevel, n (%) Single Multiple Multi	den ACDE Characteristics	All patient
Indication for index ACDF, n (%) DDD/disc herniation/spondylosis ASD Revision for prior pseudarthrosis 15. ASD Revision for prior pseudarthrosis Symptoms/signs at original presentation, n (%) Neck pain Myelopathy Radiculopathy Sorat only Both Flate only Both SBL, median (range) SOlar at discharge, n (%) Postoperative complications, n (%) Pseudarthrosis Characteristics All pathighest level of involvement, n (%) C1/C2 C2/C3 C3/C4 C4/C5 C5/C6 C6/C7 Single vs. Multilevel, n (%) Single Multiple Mult	idex ACDF Characteristics	(N=22)
ASD Revision for prior pseudarthrosis 1 Symptoms/signs at original presentation, n (%) 1 Neck pain Myelopathy 9 Radiculopathy 17 Radiculopathy 22 (** Graft only Plate only 11 Both 13 EBL, median (range) 50 Collar at discharge, n (%) 8 Postoperative complications, n (%)³ 2 Pseudarthrosis Characteristics All path (Indicated only Indicated Indicated Only Indicated In	dication for index ACDF, n (%)	7 (32)
Revision for prior pseudarthrosis 1		15 (68)
Symptoms/signs at original presentation, n (%) 20 Neck pain 20 Myelopathy 17 Radiculopathy 20 Graft plate used, n (%) 20 Graft only 8 Plate only 13 EBL, median (range) 50 Collar at discharge, n (%) 8 Postoperative complications, n (%)* 2 Pseudarthrosis Characteristics All particular particular (range) Time to pseudarthrosis from index ACDF, months, median (range) 11 Highest level of involvement, n (%) 11 C1/C2 0 C2/C3C3 0 C3/C4 3 C4/C5 3 C5/C6 7 C6/C7 3 Single s, Multilevel, n (%) 17 Single s, Multiplee 17 Multiple 5 Number of levels, median (range) 1	ASD	6 (27)
Neck pain 20	Revision for prior pseudarthrosis	1 (5)
Neck pain 90	ymptoms/signs at original presentation, n (%)	
Radiculopathy 17 17 Number of levels, median (range) 2 (1 17 17		20 (91)
Radiculopathy 17 17 Number of levels, median (range) 2 (1 17 17		9 (41)
Number of levels, median (range) 2 ('Graft/plate used, n (%)') Graft only 8 (Plate only 1 (Both 50 (3t Collar at discharge, n (%) 8 (Postoperative complications, n (%)* 2 (Pseudarthrosis Characteristics All pa (N- Time to pseudarthrosis from index ACDF, months, median (range) 11 (3 (Highest level of involvement, n (%) 0 (C1/C2 0 (C3/C4 3 (C4/C5 3 (C5/C6 7 (C6/C7 9 (Single vs. Multilevel, n (%) 3 (Multiple 5 (Multiple 5 (Number of levels, median (range) 1 (17 (77)
Serial only	lumber of levels, median (range)	2 (1-4)
Plate only 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		8 (36)
Both		1 (5)
EBL, median (range) 50 (30 Collar at discharge, n (%) 8 (Postoperative complications, n (%)* 2 Pseudarthrosis Characteristics All pa (N= Time to pseudarthrosis from index ACDF, months, median (range) 11 (3 Highest level of involvement, n (%) 0 C1/C2 0 C2/C3 0 C3/C4 3 (C4/C5 3 (C5/C6 7 (C6/C7 9 (Single s, Multilevel, n (%) 17 Single Multiple 17 Multiple 5 (Number of levels, median (range) 1 (13 (59)
Collar at discharge, n (%) 8 (Postoperative complications, n (%)³ 2 Pseudarthrosis Characteristics All pa Time to pseudarthrosis from index ACDF, months, median (range) 11 (3 Highest level of involvement, n (%) 0 C1/C2 0 C3/C4 3 (C4/C5 3 (C5/C6 7 (C6/C7 5(ngle vs. Multilevel, n (%) Single 17 Multiple 5 (Number of levels, median (range) 1 (50 (30-100
Postoperative complications, n (%) 9 2. Pseudarthrosis Characteristics All pa (N=1) Time to pseudarthrosis from index ACDF, months, median (range) 11 (3 Highest level of involvement, n (%) C1/C2 0. C2/C3 0. C3/C4 3 (4 3 (4 24/C5 3 3 (5/C5)) C5/C6 7 (6/C7 9 9 (5/C5)) Single s, Multilevel, n (%) Single Multiple 5 (6/C5) Multiple 5 (7 (7 5/C5)) Multiple 7 (8/C5) Multiple 8 (8/C5) Multiple 8 (8/C5) Multiple 9 (8/C5) Multiple		8 (36)
(N= Time to pseudarthrosis from index ACDF, months, median (range) 11 (3 Highest level of involvement, n (%) C1/C2 0 C2/C3 0 0 C3/C4 3 (6 C4/C5 3 (6 C5/C6 7 (6 C6/C7 5		2 (9)
Time to pseudarthrosis from index ACDF, months, median (range) 11 (3 Highest level of involvement, n (%) 0 C1/C2 0 C2/C3 0 C3/C4 3 (C4/C5 3 (C5/C6 7 (C6/C7 9 (Single vs. Multilevel, n (%) 3 Single Multiple 17 Multiple 5 (Number of levels, median (range) 1 (seudarthrosis Characteristics	All patient
Highest level of involvement, n (%) C1/C2 C2/C3 0 C3/C4 C3/C4 C4/C5 C6/C7 C6/C7 Single vs. Multilevel, n (%) Single Multiple Multiple Multiple Multiple Multiple 17 Multiple 16 Multiple 17 Multiple 16 Multiple 17 Multiple 17 Multiple 19 Multiple 11 Multiple M		(N=22)
C1/C2 0 C2/C3 0 C3/C4 3 C5/C6 3 C5/C6 7 C6/C7 9 Single s. Multiple Multiple 17 Multiple 5 Mumber of levels, median (range) 1 (10		median (range) 11 (3-151
C2/C3 0 C3/C4 3 (C4/C5 3 C5/C6 7 C6/C7 9 Single w. Multilevel, n (%) Single 17 Multiple 5 Number of levels, median (range) 1 (C2/C3 0 C3/C4 5 C5/C6 7 C6/C7 Single v. Multiple 5 (Multiple 5 (Multiple 11 (Multiple 5) (Multiple 11 (Multiple 5) (Multiple 5)		0 (0)
C3/C4 3 (C4/C5 3 (C5/C6 7 (C6/C7 9 (Single vs. Multilevel, n (%) Single Multiple 17, Multiple 5 (Multiple 11 (Multiple 15 (Multiple 11		0 (0)
C4/C5 3 (C5/C6 7 (C6/C7 9 (Single vs. Multilevel, n (%) Single 17 (Multiple 5 (Number of levels, median (range) 1 (3 (14)
C5/C6 7 9 9 (C6/C7 9) 9 (Single vs. Multilevel, n (%) Single 17 Multiple 5 (Number of levels, median (range) 1 (3 (14)
CSIC7 9 (Single vs. Multilevel, n (%) Single Multiple 17 (Multiple 5 (Number of levels, median (range) 1 (7 (32)
Single vs. Multilevel, n (%) 17 Single 5 (Multiple 5 (Number of levels, median (range) 1 (9 (41)
Multiple 5 (Number of levels, median (range) 1 (3 (41)
Number of levels, median (range) 1 (Single	17 (77)
Number of levels, median (range) 1 (5 (23)
		1 (1-4)
		,
X-ray 9 (9 (41)
		11 (50)
		2 (9)
		11 (50)
Symptoms/signs at presentation of pseudarthrosis. n (%)		
		20 (91)
		4 (18)
		13 (59)
		8 (0-10)

Results

Thirteen females (59%) and nine (41%) males experienced pseudoarthrosis at a median of 11 (range: 3-151) months after ACDF. Median age at index surgery was 51 (range: 33-67) years. All patients with pseudoarthrosis presented with progressive neck pain, with median VAS of 8 (range: 0-10), and/or myeloradiculopathy. Patients with pseudoarthrosis <12 months, compared to >12 months, after index surgery were older (p=0.013), had more frequent preoperative neurological deficits (p=0.064), and lower baseline VAS (p=0.006). Pseudoarthrosis was corrected in all patients via PCF of a median of 4 (range: 1-8) levels. Fusion was successful after PCF in all patients, with mean time to fusion of 9.2 (2-14) months. Eighteen patients fused anteriorly and posteriorly, two patients fused anteriorly only, and two patients fused posteriorly only. Median VAS was 4 (range: 1-8), at a median of 12 (range: 2-146) months follow-up; significantly improved from the time of pseudoarthrosis (p=0.012). Symptoms completely resolved/improved in 18 (82%) patients by LFU.

Perioperative Characteristics	All patients (N=22)
Time to revision PCF from index ACDF, months, median (range)	14 (5-155)
Procedure performed, n (%) ^a	
Lateral mass screws	20 (91)
Wiring	1 (5)
Decompression	19 (86)
Number of levels fused, median (range)	4 (1-8)
BMP used, n (%)	2 (9)
Bone graft type, n (%)	5.5
Local autograft	20 (91)
Allograft	14 (64)
DBM	12 (55)
Collar used, n (%)	16 (73)
Bone growth stimulator used, n (%)	4 (18)
EBL, cc, median (range)	388 (50-1200)
Length of hospitalization, days, median (range)	4 (1-8)
Complications, n (%)b	07500/80
Intraoperative	0 (0)
Postoperative	3 (14)
Post-PCF neck pain VAS at discharge, median (range)	7 (4-10)

Conclusions

While uncommon, pseudoarthrosis may occur after ACDF. All patients achieved successful fusion after subsequent posterior cervical fusion, with 91% fusing a previous anterior pseudoarthrosis after posterior stabilization. Neck pain significantly improved by last follow-up in the majority of patients in this study.

Outcome variable	All patients (N=22)
Successful fusion, n (%)	Substitute
Anterior only	2 (9)
Posterior only	2 (9)
Both	18 (82)
Time to fusion, months, median (range) ^a	10 (2-14)
Method of fusion determination, n (%)	
X-ray	15 (68)
СТ	7 (32)
ASD diagnosed after PCF, n (%)	4 (18)
Time to diagnosis of ASD after PCF, months, median (range)	24 (11-146)
Surgery for ASD required, n (%)	1 (5)
Length of follow-up after index ACDF, months, median (range)	33 (16-167)
Length of follow-up after PCF, months, median (range)	12 (2-146)
Status at last follow-up, n (%)	939
Stable	3 (14)
Improved	18 (82)
Worsened	1 (5)
Neck pain VAS at last follow-up, median (range)	4 (1-8)

Pre-PCF Variables Analyzed	Pseudarthrosis <12 months after ACDF	Pseudarthrosis >12 months after ACDF	p-value
Age at index ACDF, years, median (range)	53 (40-67)	45 (33-54)	0.013
Sex, female, n (%)	5 (45)	8 (73)	0.387
Smoking history, n (%)	7 (64)	8 (73)	1.000
Symptoms/signs at original presentation, n (%)			
Neck pain	9 (82)	11 (100)	0.476
Myelopathy	6 (55)	3 (27)	0.387
Radiculopathy	9 (82)	8 (73)	1.000
Number of ACDF levels, median (range)	2 (1-4)	2(1-4)	0.926
Collar at discharge, n (%)	4 (36)	4 (36)	1.000
Length of Hospitalization after ACDF, days, median (range)	2 (1-5)	1 (1-3)	0.163
Time to pseudarthrosis from index ACDF, months, median (range)	6 (3-10)	16 (12-151)	< 0.001
Pre-revision neurological deficit, n (%)	6 (55)	1 (9)	0.064
Pre-revision neck pain VAS, median (range)	7 (0-10)	8 (5-10)	0.006
ASD at time of pseudarthrosis, n (%)	4 (36)	7 (36)	0.395
PCF Variables Analyzed			
Time to PCF revision from index ACDF, months, median (range)	8 (5-14)	19 (13-155)	< 0.001
Number of levels revised, median (range)	4 (1-7)	4 (1-8)	0.727
Time to Fusion after PCF, months, median (range)	9 (4-13)	11 (2-146)	0.105

Learning Objectives

By the conclusion of this session, participants should be able to: 1) Describe the management of pseudoarthrosis following ACDF; 2) discuss the expected outcomes of a posterior revision for ACDF pseudoarthrosis.