

Craniotomy versus Decompressive Craniectomy for the Management of Acute Subdural Haematoma Angelos G. Kolias MSc, MRCS; Lucia M. Li; Elizabeth A. Corteen; Sian C Ingham; Mathew R. Guilfoyle; Ivan Timofeev; David K. Menon MBBS, MD, PhD, FRCP; John Douglas Pickard; Peter J. Kirkpatrick FRCS; Peter J. Hutchinson PhD, FRCS (SN) Addenbrooke's Hospital & University of Cambridge

Hills Road, Cambridge, CB2 0QQ, UK



## Introduction

- Approximately two-thirds of TBI patients undergoing emergency cranial surgery have an acute subdural haematoma (ASDH) evacuated
- ASDH is frequently associated with underlying parenchymal injury which further exacerbates brain swelling
- Therefore, even though craniotomy (CR) and evacuation is the established primary treatment for ASDH, leaving the bone flap out [i.e. primary decompressive craniectomy (DC)] is an option

## Methods

 Patients who underwent evacuation of an ASDH from August 2005 to August 2010 were included in this single-centre retrospective review of prospectively collected data

# Study flowchart 170 operations entered as 'acute subdural' and 'craniotomy' or 'craniectomy' OR 'decompressive craniectomy' 79 exclusions: non-traumatic ASDH, secondary DC for raised ICP, mini-craniotomy, acute on chronic subdural haematoma 91 evacuations of traumatic ASDH



# Results

 The group undergoing DC was younger and had a higher proportion of comatose patients, significant extracranial injuries and obliterated basal cisterns

| 2                   |  | Craniotomy                         | Decompressive<br>Craniectomy       |                 |
|---------------------|--|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Operation           |  | 36 (42 %)                          | 49 (58 %)                          |                 |
| Age                 |  | 59 years<br>(IQR 40-69 years)      | 45 years<br>(IQR 31-61 years)      | *p=0.015        |
| Gender              |  | 18 male (50 %)<br>18 female (50 %) | 33 male (67 %)<br>16 female (33 %) | p=0.122         |
| GCS                 | ≥ 13<br>9–12                                     | 11 (31 %)<br>11 (31 %)             | 5 (10 %)<br>6 (12 %)               | *p=0.001        |
|                     | $\leq 8$   | 14 (38 %)                          | 38 (78 %)                          |                 |
|                     | Median GCS                                       | 9.5                                | 5                                  |                 |
| Pupils              | Both reactive<br>One reactive                    | 27 (75 %)<br>8 (22 %)              | 28 (57 %)<br>15 (31 %)             | <i>p</i> =0.160 |
|                     | None reactive                                    | 1 (3 %)                            | 6 (12 %)                           |                 |
| Extracranial injury | *Present<br>Absent                               | 1 (3 %)<br>35 (97 %)               | 16 (33 %)<br>33 (67 %)             | "p=0.001        |
| CT scan features    | Obliterated basal cisterns<br>or IIIrd ventricle | 7 (19 %)                           | 28 (57 %)                          | *p=0.001        |
|                     | Midline Shift (present)                          | 35 (97 %)                          | 49 (100 %)                         | p=0.99          |
|                     | Median midline shift (mm)                        | 9 (Q1-Q3: 6-14)                    | 11 (Q1-Q3: 8-13)                   | p = 0.41        |

• The mean predicted risk of mortality at 14 days and unfavourable outcome at 6 months was significantly worse in the DC group



• Despite a worse injury severity in the DC group, the unadjusted outcomes were not significantly different between the CR and DC groups



### Conclusions

- We believe that the absence of outcome differences despite a higher predicted risk for mortality/poor outcome in the DC group possibly suggests that primary DC may be more effective than CR for selected patients with ASDH
- The BTF has identified the question of craniotomy versus decompressive craniectomy for primary evacuation of ASDH as an important area for further research
- A randomised trial comparing craniotomy with DC for the primary evacuation of ASDH is justified and required

### References

 Outcome following evacuation of acute subdural haematomas: a comparison of craniotomy with decompressive craniectomy. Li LM, Kolias AG, Guilfoyle MR, Timofeev I, Corteen EA, Pickard JD, Menon DK, Kirkpatrick PJ, Hutchinson PJ. Acta Neurochir 2012
Primary decompressive craniectomy for acute subdural haematomas: results of an international survey. Kolias AG, Belli A, Li LM, Timofeev I, Corteen EA, Santarius T, Menon DK, Pickard JD, Kirkpatrick PJ, Hutchinson PJ. Acta Neurochir 2012
Acknowledgements: AGK is supported by a Royal College of Surgeons of England Research Fellowship, an NIHR Academic Clinical Fellowship and a Sackler Studentship. PJH is supported by an Academy of Medical Sciences/Health Foundation Senior Surgical Scientist Fellowship.