

# Minimal Toxicity from Systemic Therapy Given Concurrently with Stereotactic Radiosurgery for Brain Metastases

Colette Shen, MD, PhD, Megan Kummerlowe, BS, Kristin Redmond, MD, MPH, Michael Lim, MD, Daniele Rigamonti, MD,

Lawrence Kleinberg, MD



(1) Department of Radiation Oncology, (2) Department of Neurosurgery, Johns Hopkins Hospital, Baltimore, MD, USA

## Introduction

Chemotherapy is typically not given concurrently with whole brain radiation therapy (WBRT) for treatment of metastatic disease due to increased risk of myelosuppression and neurotoxicity. The goal of this study is to evaluate the prevalence, outcomes, and toxicities of concurrent delivery of systemic therapy with stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS) for treatment of brain metastases.

## Methods

- Retrospective review of 195 patients treated with SRS without WBRT for brain metastases, 2009-2014.
- Outcomes: administration of concurrent systemic therapy, grade of myelosuppression, development of neurological symptoms/toxicity, and overall survival.
- Concurrent systemic therapy: therapy started with or prior to SRS and continued through SRS treatment.
- Grade of myelosuppression: defined by laboratory values within 1 month of SRS, per CTCAE v4.
- Grade of neurotoxicity, defined by RTOG acute radiation morbidity scoring criteria, and dexamethasone use evaluated over 3 months following SRS. Radiation necrosis defined by radiographic (MRI) suspicion or pathologic confirmation, along with corresponding neurologic symptoms (no time limit).

## Results

#### Table 1: Patient demographics

Characteristic		Number	Percent				
Total number of patients		195					
Total number of treatments		292					
Sex	Male	96	49.2				
	Female	99	50.8				
Age	Median: 61 years (range 27-85)						
Histology	Breast	27	13. <b>8</b>				
	Lung (NSCLC)	79	40.5				
	Melanoma	36	18.5				
	Renal	18	9.2				
	Other	35	17.9				
Performance status (ECOG)	0	45	23.1				
	1	115	59.0				
	2	28	14.4				
	3	7	3.6				
Extracranial disease status	Controlled	69	35.8				
	Not controlled	124	64.2				

## Table 2: Treatment data

SRS with concurrent systemic therapy			Nur	ober	Dercent	
				reitent		
lotal number SRS treatments			25	92		
Number SRS treatments delivered with		1	15	39.4		
systemic therapy						
Of SRS treatments delivered with syste	emic					
therapy (n=115):						
Myelosuppressive systemic therapy			58		50.4	
Non-myelosuppressive systemic therap		57		49.6		
Timing of systemic therapy with SRS:		Samawa	ok 7	9	68.7	
thing of systemic cherapy with 5h3.	No	same we	week 2	2	19.1	
		Unknov	vn 1	4	12.2	
SRS treatment data	Mean	lean SD M		Mi	Max נ <u>ו או</u>	
Total SRS treatments per patient	1.5	0.8	1	1	6	
Number lesions per treatment	2.5	2.0	2	1	11	
Systemic therapy data	I	Number	P	Percent		
Single agent systemic therapy			70		60.9	
Multiple agent systemic therapy			45		39.2	
Myelosuppressive						
Platinum			24		20.9	
Taxane			18		15.7	
Pemetrexed			14		12.2	
5-FU / capecitabine			7		6.1	
Gemcitabine			6		5.2	
Non-myelosuppressive						
Ipilimumab or anti-PD1/PDL1 (immune	y)	20		17.4		
Trastuzumab (targeted therapy)	Trastuzumab (targeted therapy)					

## Table 3: Myelosuppression with SRS and systemic therapy

Toxicity	Grade	All concurrent treatments No. (%)	Myelosuppressive (MS) No. (%)	Targeted (non-MS) No. (%)	Immune (non-MS) No. (%)	p-val	Same week No. (%)	Not same week No. (%)	p-val
Leukopenia	0-2	66 (93.0)	37 (88.1)	14 (100)	14 (100)	0.243	49 (94.2)	15 (88.2)	0.596
	3-4	5 (7.0)	5 (11.9)	0 (0)	0 (0)		3 (5.8)	2 (11.8)	
Lymphopenia	0-2	59 (86.8)	34 (82.9)	13 (92.9)	11 (91.7)	0.289	44 (89.8)	14 (82.4)	0.773
	3-4	9 (13.2)	7 (17.1)	1 (7.1)	1 (8.3)		5 (10.2)	3 (17.6)	
Neutropenia	0-2	65 (95.6)	38 (92.7)	14 (100)	12 (100)	0.215	47 (95.9)	16 (94.1)	0.867
	3-4	3 (4.4)	3 (7.3)	0 (0)	0 (0)		2 (4.1)	1 (5.9)	
Anemia	0-2	66 (93.0)	38 (90.5)	13 (92.9)	14 (100)	0.097	50 (96.2)	14 (82.4)	0.025
	3-4	5 (7.0)	4 (9.5)	1 (7.1)	0 (0)		2 (3.8)	3 (17.6)	
Thrombocytopenia	0-2	67 (94.4)	38 (90.5)	14 (100)	14 (100)	0.059	51 (98.1)	14 (82.4)	0.232
	3-4	4 (5.6)	4 (9.5)	0 (0)	0 (0)		1 (1.9)	3 (17.6)	

## Table 4: Neurotoxicity with SRS and systemic therapy

Toxicity		All concurrent treatments No. (%)	Myelosuppressive (MS) No. (%)	Targeted (non-MS) No. (%)	Immune (non-MS) No. (%)	p-val	Same week No. (%)	Not same week No. (%)	p-val
CN5 acute RTOG	0	47 (42.0)	22 (38.6)	17 (53.1)	5 (25.0)	0.012	31 (39.7)	9 (40.9)	0.888
morbidity grade	1	29 (25.9)	15 (26.3)	10 (31.3)	4 (20.0)		21 (26.9)	7 (31.8)	
	2	33 (29.5)	19 (33.3)	5 (15.6)	9 (45.0)		24 (30.8)	6 (27.3)	
	3	3 (2.7)	1 (1.8)	0 (0)	2 (10.0)		2 (2.6)	0 (0)	
	4	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)		0 (0)	0(0)	
Dexamethasone	Yes	36 (32.1)	20 (35.1)	5 (15.6)	11 (55.0)	0.017	26 (33.3)	Б (27.3)	0.172
following SRS	No	76 (67.9)	37 (64.9)	27 (84.4)	9 (45.0)		52 (66.7)	16 (72.7)	
Radiation necrosis	Yes	4 (3.5)	1 (1.8)	3 (8.8)	0 (0)	0.294	3 (3.8)	0 (0)	0.317
	No	110 (96.5)	56 (98.2)	31 (91.2)	20 (100)		76 (96.2)	21 (100)	

## Figure 1: Overall survival from time of SRS



## Conclusions

- Systemic therapy can be safely given with SRS for brain metastases, for patients with intra- and extracranial disease.
- Myelosuppression is overall low with concurrent therapy; no difference between systemic therapy types or timing with SRS.
- While neurotoxicity is overall low, targeted therapies may lead to lower toxicity and steroid use, and immune therapies may result in higher toxicity requiring increased steroid use.
- Concurrent therapy may improve survival in patients with new presentations of primary with metastatic disease who can receive systemic therapy and SRS immediately.