

Role of Spinal Diffusion Tensor Imaging in Predicting Postoperative Outcome in Cervical Degenerative Pathologies

Rima Sestokas Rindler MD; Falgun Chokshi MD; Sheila Eshraghi MD; Mahmud Mossa-Basha MD, DABR; James G Malcolm; Jason K Chu MD, MSc; Faiz U. Ahmad MD, MCh

[Institution]

Introduction

Predicting post-operative recovery after surgery for cervical spondylotic myelopathy (CSM) is challenging. The authors performed a systematic review of the literature evaluating the diagnostic ability of DTI in CSM, and its ability to predict postoperative outcome.

Methods

A systematic PubMED search adherent to PRISMA guidelines included relevant clinical studies reporting use of DTI in adult humans undergoing operative management for CSM from 1980 onwards. Available data on preoperative clinical status and imaging and postoperative clinical outcomes were abstracted. Six of 562 studies were eligible for detailed review. There were 112 patients with CSM and 45 healthy controls. Seventy-three (59.8%) underwent operative management with mean follow-up time 269.9 (SD ±67.7) days. Fractional anisotropy (FA) was significantly lower in patients compared to controls across multiple studies, and correlated with pre-operative assessment (modified Japanese Outcome Assessment). FA and fiber tractography ratio (FTR) correlated with post-operative clinical assessments, with FA independently predicted surgical need and good outcome postoperatively.

Conclusions

Results

DTI may be a valuable tool in diagnosing patients with CSM, identifying patients in need of surgical decompression, and predicting postoperative outcome. Future prospective studies are required for choosing optimal DTI parameters, anatomic levels and acquisition techniques.

Learning Objectives

By the conclusion of this session, participants should be able to describe the potential advantage of diffusion tensor imaging in diagnosing cervical spondylotic myelopathy over current clinical and radiographic diagnostic tools, and its potential for identifying operative candidates and predicting postoperative outcome.

References

1. Bernhardt M, Hynes RA, Blume HW, White AA, 3rd. Cervical spondylotic myelopathy. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1993;75:119-28.

2. Levine DN. Pathogenesis of cervical spondylotic myelopathy. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1997;62:334-40.

3. Facon D, Ozanne A, Fillard P, Lepeintre JF, Tournoux-Facon C, Ducreux D. MR diffusion tensor imaging and fiber tracking in spinal cord compression. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2005;26:1587-94.

4. Fernandez de Rota JJ, Meschian S, Fernandez de Rota A, Urbano V, Baron M. Cervical spondylotic myelopathy due to chronic compression: the role of signal intensity changes in magnetic resonance images. J Neurosurg Spine 2007;6:17-22.

5. Suri A, Chabbra RP, Mehta VS, Gaikwad S, Pandey RM. Effect of intramedullary signal changes on the surgical outcome of patients with cervical spondylotic myelopathy. Spine J 2003;3:33-45.

6. Wheeler-Kingshott CA, Stroman PW, Schwab JM, et al. The current state-of-the-art of spinal cord imaging: Applications. Neuroimage 2014;84:1082-93.

7. Guan X, Fan G, Wu X, et al. Diffusion Tensor Imaging Studies of Cervical Spondylotic Myelopathy: A Systemic Review and Meta-Analysis. PLoS One 2015;10:e0117707.

8. Wang W, Qin W, Hao N, Wang Y, Zong G. Diffusion tensor imaging in spinal cord compression. Acta Radiol 2012;53:921-8.