



## Introduction

Development of materials closely resembling natural bone would allow for tailor-made implants. Having the same structure and surface characteristics as natural bone, these composites could be seeded with appropriate growth factors or stem cells, and therefore provide a template for tissue regeneration, while slowly resorbing to finally leave no foreign substances in the body.

## Methods

Numerous materials exhibiting substantial surface or total bulk porosity and performance to enable bone ingrowth have been investigated for use as bone scaffolds and bone-regeneration systems for tissue engineering and tissue repair.

This review focuses on the results achieved since the rising of nanotechnology in this forefront sector of biomedical research.

## Results

Nanomaterials have been tested in bone grafting according to their biocompatibility, design flexibility, functional group availability, surface modifiability, light weight and ability to yield. Moreover they can be categorized into biodegradable and nonbiodegradable substructures.

- Nanophase alumina and titania have proven to simulate material characteristics (such as surface grain size) of physiological bone that enhance protein interactions (such as adsorption, bioactivity, etc) and subsequent osteoblast adhesion.
- Collagen-, gelatin-, poly-lactic acid-, poly-glycolic acid-based nanostructures have also shown reasonable mechanical properties along with the ability to degrade into non-toxic components with a controllable degradation rate in vivo.
- The versatility of these products has allowed the fabrication of implants with various porosities and mechanical properties that can mimic the complex architecture of bone-specific sites to optimize tissue regeneration.
- Further engineerization of these composites has reduced in vitro the risk of

## Conclusions

Nanomaterials have attracted significant attention as alternative scaffold material for bone substitute.

This attention is due to their mechanical strength, porosity, biocompatibility and bioactivity, which allows cell adhesion, migration, growth, and proliferation, resulting in excellent integration with surrounding tissues. Nanomaterials are shaping the world of spine implants and are supposed to significantly affect our surgical strategies in the near future.

## Most relevant references

- Kandziora F, et al.: Biomechanical analysis of biodegradable interbody fusion cages augmented with poly(propylene glycol-co-fumaric acid. **Spine 2002**
- Bün­ger MH, et al.: Bone nanostructure near titanium and porous tantalum implants studied by scanning small angle x-ray scattering. **Eur Cell Mater. 2006**
- Vlad MU, et al.: Iron oxide nanoparticles significantly enhances the injectability of apatitic bone cement for vertebroplasty. **Spine 2008**
- Maccauro G, et al.: An overview about biomedical applications of micron and nano size tantalum. **Recent Pat Biotechnol. 2009**
- Yang X, et al.: Transcriptional alteration of matrix-related gene expression in cultured human disc cells by nanoparticles of a bismethanophosphonate fullerene. **Cell Biol Int. 2010**
- Wang Y, et al.: The promotion of neural progenitor cells proliferation by aligned and randomly oriented collagen nanofibers through  $\beta 1$  integrin/MAPK signaling pathway. **Biomaterials. 2011**
- Hsu WK, et al.: Nanocomposite therapy as a more efficacious and less inflammatory alternative to bone morphogenetic protein-2 in a rodent arthrodesis model. **J Orthop Res. 2011**
- Driscoll TP, et al.: Fiber angle and aspect ratio influence the shear mechanics of oriented electrospun nanofibrous scaffolds. **J Mech Behav Biomed Mater. 2011**
- Rodrigues DC, et al.: An ex vivo exothermal and mechanical evaluation of two-solution bone cements in vertebroplasty. **Spine J. 2011**
- Zhao Z, et al.: A hollow cylindrical nano-hydroxyapatite/polyamide composite strut for cervical reconstruction after cervical corpectomy. **J Clin Neurosci. 2012**