

Effect of Insurance and Racial Disparities on Outcomes in Traumatic Brain Injury Patients

Michael Schiraldi MD, PhD; Chirag G. Patil MD MS; Debraj Mukherjee MD, MPH; Beatrice Ugiliweneza MSPH; Miriam Nuno PhD; Shivanand P. Lad MD PhD; Maxwell Boakye MD

Department of Neurosurgery Cedars-Sinai Medical Center



Introduction

We aimed to describe disparities between commercially insured, Medicaid, and Medicare patients. We further study racial disparities within a relatively homogenous Medicaid population.

Learning Objectives

By the conclusion of this session participants should be able to describe the effect of insurance and racial disparities on the outcomes of patients with with traumatic brain injuries.

Methods

We reviewed MarketScan database (2000-2009) for adult TBI patients. Univariate and multivariate analysis were performed for mortality, length of stay (LOS), payments, and use of post-hospitalization rehabilitation or emergency department (ED) services.

		Table '	1						
Table 1: Baseline characteristics of traumatic brain Injury patients within the Medicaid database, 2000-200 Insurance Type									
Characteristics	All patients (N=92,159)	Commercial (N=44,108)	Medicaid (N=19,743)	Medicare (N=28,308)	p value				
Age, mean (SD)	54 (23)	42 (15)	46 (20)	80 (8)	<.0001				
Female, N (%)	37766 (41.0)	14830 (33.6)	8123 (41.1)	14813 (52.3)	<.0001				
Post-operative follow-up i	n days								
mean (SD)	664 (692)	654 (662)	804 (794)	582 (644)	<.0001				
Charlson index, N (%)					<.0001				
0	50777 (55.1)	30083 (68.2)	11964 (60.6)	8730 (30.8)					
1	21615 (23.5)	8752 (19.8)	4035 (20.4)	8828 (31.2)					
2	10611 (11.5)	3148 (7.1)	1899 (9.6)	5564 (19.7)					
3+	9156 (9.9)	2125 (4.8)	1845 (9.4)	5186 (18.3)					

0.81(0.2)

0.82(0.2)

riations: SD= standard deviation. ICISS=Classification of Disease Injury Severity Scor

0.79(0.3)

0.86 (0.2)

Results

Our study included 92,159 patients; commercial insurance, Medicaid, and Medicare were utilized by 44,108, 19,743 and 28,308 individuals, respectively. In-hospital death was lowest for commercially insured (5.0%) vs. 7.6% and 8.5% for Medicaid and Medicare patients, respectively (p<0.0001). Multivariate analysis showed that Medicaid patients were almost twice as likely to have a complication than the commercially insured (OR=1.8). Medicaid patients had longer hospitalizations than commercially insured (12 days vs. 6 days, p<0.0001). Mean inpatient charges were least for Medicare (\$17,374) and greatest for Medicaid patients (\$42,438); charges for commercially insured averaged \$35,280 (p=<0.0001). Rehabilitation was utilized by 13.4% commercially insured vs. 9.1% Medicaid patients; 16.6% of Medicare patients utilized rehabilitation (p=<0.0001). Mean ED visits totaled 4 for both commercially insured and Medicare patients; Medicaid patients averaged 26 ED services (p = < 0.0001). A subset of 17,627 Medicaid patients was used to examine racial disparities; 12,847 patients were Caucasian and 4,780 were African American (AA). In-hospital mortality (7.6% vs. 7.9%, p=0.50) and LOS (12 vs. 13 days, p=0.45) were similar between Caucasians and AAs, respectively. Mean hospital payments were higher (\$51,837 vs. \$39,615,

-		the Medicaid database, 20		-
Outcomes	Commercial (N=44,108)	Insurance Type Medicaid (N=19,743)	Medicare (N=28,308)	p value
In-hospital death, N (%)	2198 (5.0)	1494 (7.6)	2395 (8.5)	<.0001
Index hospitalization, mean (SD)				
days	6 (11)	12(21)	6 (8)	<.0001
charges in 2009 dollars payments, median	\$35,280 (69857) \$13,148	\$42,438 (103555) \$10,522	\$17,374 (34236) \$8,624	<.0001
Discharge to home during index hospitalization, N (%)	32214 (73.0)	11856 (60.1)	15057 (53.2)	<.0001
Post-operative outpatient rehabilitat	ion			
use, N (%)	5912 (13.4)	1792 (9.1)	4697 (16.6)	<.0001
no. of services	3 (17)	2 (14)	2(8)	<.0001
charges in 2009 dollars	558 (5377)	298 (4112)	221 (1711)	<.0001
payments, median	\$0	\$0	S0	
Post-operative outpatient ED				
no. of services	4 (13)	26 (72)	4 (9)	<.0001
charges in 2009 dollars	700 (3041)	1349 (4179)	514 (1533)	<.0001
payments, median	\$0	\$148	S0	
All post-operative outpatient				
no. of services*	94 (149)	177 (339)	112 (148)	<.0001
charges in 2009 dollars	\$15,888 (35944)	\$14,808 (43107)	\$18,216 (34643)	<.0001

p=<0.0001), rehabilitation services were used less frequently (8.2% vs. 9.7%, p=0.0018), and

ED services were used more frequently (31 vs.

26 visits, p=<0.0001) by AAs relative to

Caucasians.

			Tab	ie s	•					
Table 3: Multivariate analysis	of factors as	sociated w	ith increase	d mortalit	y, lengt	th of stay,				
total charges, 30-day complica	tions within t	he Medica	id database	, 2000-20	09.					
	Length of Stay		Total Charges		Mortality		Discharge Home		Complications	
Variable	Estimate	p value	Estimate	p value	OR	p value	OR	p value	OR	p value
Insurance (ref: Commercial)										
Medicaid	0.44	<.0001	-0.29	<.0001	1.29	<.0001	0.64	<.0001	1.78	<.0001
Medicare	0.07	<.0001	-0.36	<.0001	1.18	.4456	0.88	<.0001	1.13	<.0001
Age (year increment)	0.00	.0995	-0.01	<.0001	1.02	<.0001	0.98	<.0001	0.99	<.0001
Female gender	+0.12	<.0001	-0.17	<.0001	0.80	<.0001	1.07	<.0001	0.67	<.0001
Charlson index (ref: 0)										
1	0.25	<.0001	0.22	<.0001	1.27	<.0001	0.83	<.0001	1.48	<.0001
2	0.42	<.0001	0.38	<.0001	1.55	.5244	0.67	<.0001	1.98	<.0001
3+	0.61	<.0001	0.56	<.0001	2.71	<.0001	0.48	<.0001	2.96	<.0001
ICISS (unit increase)	-0.76	<.0001	-1.51	<.0001	0.01	< 0.0001	22.7	<.0001	0.07	<.0001

Table 4: Multivariate analysis				nd ED out	patient				
resource utilization within the	Medicaid	database, 2		nationt Co	mino Titil	ization	-		
	Outpatient Service Utilization Insurance Race								
	Rehab No. of ED vis			D visits	F	tehab	No. of I	ED visits	
Variable	OR	p value	Estimate	p value	OR	p value	Estimate	p val	
Insurance (ref: Commercial)		•				•			
Medicaid	0.634	<.0001	1.978	<.0001	-	-	-	-	
Medicare	1.333	<.0001	0.0068	.7999	.832*	.0025*	0.1741*	<.000	
Age (year increment)	0.997	.0003	-0.0012	.0327	.987	<.0001	-0.0106	<.001	
Female gender Charlson index (ref: 0)	1.039	.0581	0.1603	<.0001	1.10	.0769	0.073	.031	
Charison index (ref. 0)	1.104	<.0001	0.0661	.0004	1.03	.695	0.0741	.089	
2	1.245	<.0001	0.0715	.0035	1.00	.982	-0.0038	.947	
3+	1.264	<.0001	0.1143	<.0001	.92	.4487	-0.0173	.775	
ICISS (unit increase)	0.758	<.0001	1.0417	<.0001	.77	.0079	1.6543	<.001	
Table 5: Baseline characte within the Medicaid databa			numatic brai	n Injury p	patients l	у гасе			
	350, 2000-	2009.							
Vastaktas	350, 2000-		te (N=12,84	Race	ack (N	=4,780)		luo	
Variables	350, 2000-				,		p va		
Age, mean (SD)	330, 2000-	Whi	46 (12)		45 (1	9)	.3	6	
Age, mean (SD) Female, N (%)		Whi	46 (12) 792 (778)	i7) Bl	45 (1 881 (8	9)	.3- <.00	6 001	
Age, mean (SD)		Whi	46 (12)	i7) Bl	45 (1	9)	.3	6 001	
Age, mean (SD) Female, N (%) Post-operative follow-up d		Whi	46 (12) 792 (778)	i7) Bl	45 (1 881 (8	9) 42) 5.54)	.3- <.00	6 001 001	
Age, mean (SD) Female, N (%) Post-operative follow-up d (SD) ICISS, mean (SD) Outcomes		Whi	46 (12) 792 (778) 577 (43.41) 0.78 (0.26)	i7) Bl	45 (1 881 (8 1699 (3: 0.80 (0	9) 42) 5.54)	.30 <.00 <.00	6 001 001 001	
Age, mean (SD) Female, N (%) Post-operative follow-up d (SD) ICISS, mean (SD) Outcomes In-hospital death, N (%)		Whi	46 (12) 792 (778) 577 (43.41)	i7) Bl	45 (1 881 (8 1699 (3	9) 42) 5.54)	.3· <.00 <.00	6 001 001 001	
Age, mean (SD) Female, N (%) Post-operative follow-up d (SD) ICISS, mean (SD) Outcomes In-hospital death, N (%) Index hospitalization		Whi	46 (12) 792 (778) 577 (43.41) 0.78 (0.26) 972 (7.57)	i7) Bl	45 (1 881 (8 1699 (3: 0.80 (0	9) 42) 5.54) .26)	.3i <.000 <.000 <.000	6 001 001 001	
Age, mean (SD) Female, N (%) Post-operative follow-up d (SD) ICISS, mean (SD) Outcomes In-hospital death, N (%) Index hospitalization in days, mean (SD)	lays, mear	Whi	46 (12) 792 (778) 577 (43.41) 0.78 (0.26) 972 (7.57) 12 (21)	17) Bla	45 (1 881 (8 1699 (3: 0.80 (0 376 (7.	9) 42) 5.54) .26) 87)	.3· <.00 <.00 <.00	6 001 001 001 001	
Age, mean (SD) Female, N (%) Post-operative follow-up d (SD) ICISS, mean (SD) Outcomes In-hospital death, N (%) Index hospitalization in days, mean (SD) charges in 2009 dollar	lays, mear	Whi	46 (12) 792 (778) 577 (43.41) 0.78 (0.26) 972 (7.57)	17) Bla	45 (1 881 (8 1699 (3: 0.80 (0	9) 42) 5.54) .26) 87)	.3i <.000 <.000 <.000	6 001 001 001 001	
Age, mean (SD) Female, N (%) Penale, N (%) Post-operative follow-up d (SD) UISS, mean (SD) Outcomes In-hospital death, N (%) Index hospitalization in days, mean (SD) charges in 2009 dollat Discharges in 2009 dollat post	lays, mear	Whi	46 (12) 792 (778) 577 (43.41) 0.78 (0.26) 972 (7.57) 12 (21)	(7) Bla	45 (1 881 (8 1699 (3: 0.80 (0 376 (7.	9) 42) 5.54) .26) 87) 2) 05963)	.3· <.00 <.00 <.00	6 001 001 001 0 5	
Age. mean (SD) Fenale, N (%) Post-operative follow-up of (SD) CICISS. mean (SD) Outcomes In-hospital death, N (%) Index hospitalization in days. mean (SD) charges in 2009 dolla Discharge to home during hospitalization, N (%)	lays, mear	Whi 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5	46 (12) 792 (778) 577 (43.41) 9.78 (0.26) 972 (7.57) 12 (21) .615 (10537 456 (58.04)	(7) Bla	45 (1 881 (8 1699 (3: 0.80 (0 376 (7. 13 (2 11,837 (1 3009 (6:	9) 42) 5.54) .26) 87) 22) 05963) 2.95)	.50 <.00 <.00 .50 .50	6 001 001 001 0 5 001	
Age. mean (SD) Female, N (%) Female, N (%) Post-operative follow-up d (SD) CICISS, mean (SD) Outcomes In-hospital death, N (%) Index hospitalization in days, mean (SD) charges in 2009 dollal lischarge to home during hospitalization, N (%) Hospital readmission 30-day 30-day	lays, mear	Whi 5 1 5 1 5 1 7 7 7	46 (12) 792 (778) 577 (43.41) 0.78 (0.26) 972 (7.57) 12 (21) .615 (10537 456 (58.04)	(7) Bla	45 (1 881 (8 1699 (3: 0.80 (0 376 (7. 13 (2 3009 (6: 2748 (5)	9) 42) 5.54) .26) 87) 22) 05963) 2.95)	.3· <.00 <.00 <.00 .5· .4. <.00 <.00	6 001 001 001 00 5 001 001	
Age. mean (SD) Female, N (%) Post-operative follow-up d (SD) CICISS. mean (SD) Outcomes In-hospital death, N (%) Indees hospitalization in days. mean (SD) Charges in 2009 dolla Discharge to home during hospitalization, N (%) Hospital readmission 30-day 90-day	lays, mear	Whi 5 1 5 1 5 1 7 7 8 8	46 (12) 792 (778) 577 (43.41) 9.78 (0.26) 972 (7.57) 12 (21) .615 (10537 456 (58.04)	(7) Bla	45 (1 881 (8 1699 (3: 0.80 (0 376 (7. 13 (2 11,837 (1 3009 (6:	9) 42) 5.54) .26) 87) 22) 05963) 2.95)	.50 <.00 <.00 .50 .50	6 001 001 001 00 5 001 001	
Age, mean (SD) Female, N (%) Post-operative follow-up d (SD) CICISS, mean (SD) Outcomes In-hospital death, N (%) Index hospitalization, in days, mean (SD) charges in 2009 dolla Inospitalization, N (%) Inospital death, N (%) Sicharges to home during hospitalization, N (%) 10-day 90-day 90-day	lays, mear	Whi 5 1 5 1 1 5 1 7 7 8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	46 (12) 792 (778) 5577 (43.41) 0.78 (0.26) 972 (7.57) 12 (21) .615 (10537 456 (58.04) 535 (58.67) 180 (63.70)	(7) Bla	45 (1 881 (8 1699 (3) 0.80 (0 376 (7. 13 (2 21,837 (1 3009 (6) 2748 (5) 2978 (6)	9) 42) 5.54) 87) 87) 2.95) 2.95)	.3· <.00 <.00 <.00 .5· .4 <.00 <.00	6 001 001 001 0 5 001 001	
Age, mean (SD) Female, N (%) Penale, N (%) Post-operative follow-up d (SD) UISS, mean (SD) Outcomes In-hospital death, N (%) Index hospitalization in days, mean (SD) charges in 2009 dollat Discharges in 2009 dollat Discharge to lome during hospitalization, N (%) Hospital readmission 30-day 90-day Post-operative outpatient r use, N (%)	lays, mear	Whi 5 1 5 1 1 5 1 7 7 8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	46 (12) 792 (778) 577 (43.41) 9.78 (0.26) 972 (7.57) 12 (21) .615 (10537 456 (58.04) 180 (63.70) .248 (9.71)	(7) Bla	45 (1 881 (8 1699 (3) 0.80 (0 376 (7. 13 (2 21,837 (1 3009 (6) 2748 (5) 2978 (6) 391 (8.	9) 42) 42) 55.54) .26) 87) 22) 05963) 2.95) 7.49) 2.30)	.3·. < .00 < .00 < .00 < .00 .5·4. < .00 < .00 .00 .00 .00	6 001 001 001 0 5 001 001 6 73	
Age, mean (SD) Female, N (%) Post-operative follow-up d (SD) CICISS, mean (SD) Outcomes In-hospital death, N (%) Index hospitalization in days, mean (SD) charges in 2009 dolla Discharge to home during hospitalization, N (%) Hospital readmission 30-day 90-day Post-operative outpatient r use, N (%) # of services	lays, mear rs index ehabilitati	Whi 5 1 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	46 (12) 792 (778) 577 (43.41) 9.78 (0.26) 972 (7.57) 12 (21) .615 (10537 456 (58.04) 535 (58.67) 180 (63.70) .248 (9.71) 2 (16)	(7) Bla	45 (1 881 (8 1699 (3: 0.80 (0 13) (2 1.837 (1 3009 (6: 2748 (5: 2978 (6: 391 (8. 1 (9 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	9) 42) 42) 5.5.54) .26) 87) 2) 0.5963) 2.95) 7.49) 2.30)	.3·. < .00 < .00 < .00 < .00 .5·4 < .00 < .00 .00 .00	6 6 0001 0001 0001 0001 5 5 0001 0001 6 6 773	
Age, mean (SD) Female, N (%) Post-operative follow-up d (SD) Outcomes In-hospital death, N (%) Index hospitalization in days, mean (SD) charges in 2009 dollal blosharge to home during hospitalization, N (%) Hospital readmission 30-day 90-day Post-operative outpatient r use, N (%) # of services charges in 2009 dollal	lays, mear rs index ehabilitati	Whi 5 1 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	46 (12) 792 (778) 577 (43.41) 9.78 (0.26) 972 (7.57) 12 (21) .615 (10537 456 (58.04) 180 (63.70) .248 (9.71)	(7) Bla	45 (1 881 (8 1699 (3) 0.80 (0 376 (7. 13 (2 21,837 (1 3009 (6) 2748 (5) 2978 (6) 391 (8.	9) 42) 42) 5.5.54) .26) 87) 2) 0.5963) 2.95) 7.49) 2.30)	.3·. < .00 < .00 < .00 < .00 .5·4. < .00 < .00 .00 .00 .00	6 001 001 001 0 5 001 001 6 73 18 18	
Age. mean (SD) Pest-operative follow-up of (SD) CICISS, mean (SD) Outcomes In-hospital death, N (%) Index hospitalization in days, mean (SD) Discharge to home during hospitalization, N (%) Discharge to home during hospitalization, N (%) Post-operative outpatient in use, N (%) ### of services #### of services Post-operative outpatient in use, N (%) ###################################	lays, mear rs index ehabilitati	Whi 5 1 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	46 (12) 792 (778) 577 (43.41) 0.78 (0.26) 972 (7.57) 12 (21) .615 (10537 456 (58.04) 535 (58.67) 180 (63.70) 2(48 (9.71) 2 (16) 310 (3593)	(7) Bla	45 (1 881 (8 1699 (3: 0.80 (0 137 (1 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	9) 42) 42) 5.54) .26) 87) 2) 05963) 2.95) 7.49) 2.30) 18))	.3·3·3·3·3·3·3·3·3·3·3·3·3·3·3·3·3·3·3·	6 6 0001 0001 0001 0001 0001 0001 0001	
Age, mean (SD) Female, N (%) Female, N (%) Post-operative follow-up of (SD) Outcomes In-hospital death, N (%) Index hospitalization in days, mean (SD) charges in 2009 dollal charges in 2009 dollal hospitalization, N (%) Hospital readmission 30-day 90-day Post-operative outpatient r use, N (%) # of services charges in 2009 dollal Post-operative outpatient F # of services	lays, mear rs index ehabilitati	Whi	46 (12) 792 (778) 577 (43.41) 9.78 (0.26) 972 (7.57) 12 (21) .615 (10537 456 (58.04) 535 (58.67) 180 (63.70) (248 (9.71) 2 (16) 310 (3593) 26 (70)	(7) Bla	45 (1 881 (8 81699 (3: 0.80 (0 376 (7. 13 (2 13) (2) (3: 3009 (6: 391 (8: 19) (9: 391 (8: 19) (9: 391 (3: 31 (7.) 301 (3: 31 (7.)	9) 42) 5.54) 226) 87) 22) 05963) 2.95) 7.49) 2.30) 118)) 1105)	.3·3·3·3·3·3·3·3·3·3·3·3·3·3·3·3·3·3·3·	6 6001 0001 0001 0001 0001 0001 0001 18 18 18 18 004	
Age. mean (SD) Female, N (%) Post-operative follow-up d (SD) CICISS. mean (SD) Ontcomes In-hospital death, N (%) In-hospital adath, N (%) In-hospital adath, N (%) In-hospital adath, N (%) Index hospitalization in days. mean (SD) Charges in 2009 dolla Discharge to home during hospitalization, N (%) Hospital readmission 30-day 90-day 90-day Post-operative outpatient r use, N (%) # of services charges in 2009 dolla Post-operative outpatient # of services charges in 2009 dolla	rs index ehabilitati seD	Whi	46 (12) 792 (778) 577 (43.41) 0.78 (0.26) 972 (7.57) 12 (21) .615 (10537 456 (58.04) 535 (58.67) 180 (63.70) 2(48 (9.71) 2 (16) 310 (3593)	(7) Bla	45 (1 881 (8 1699 (3: 0.80 (0 137 (1 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	9) 42) 5.54) 226) 87) 22) 05963) 2.95) 7.49) 2.30) 118)) 1105)	.3·3·3·3·3·3·3·3·3·3·3·3·3·3·3·3·3·3·3·	6 6001 0001 0001 0001 0001 0001 0001 18 18 18 18 004	
Age, mean (SD) Female, N (%) Female, N (%) Post-operative follow-up of (SD) Outcomes In-hospital death, N (%) Index hospitalization in days, mean (SD) charges in 2009 dollal charges in 2009 dollal hospitalization, N (%) Hospital readmission 30-day 90-day Post-operative outpatient r use, N (%) # of services charges in 2009 dollal Post-operative outpatient F # of services	rs index ehabilitati seD	Whi	46 (12) 792 (778) 577 (43.41) 9.78 (0.26) 972 (7.57) 12 (21) .615 (10537 456 (58.04) 535 (58.67) 180 (63.70) (248 (9.71) 2 (16) 310 (3593) 26 (70)	(7) Bla	45 (1 881 (8 81699 (3: 0.80 (0 376 (7. 13 (2 13) (2) (3: 3009 (6: 391 (8: 19) (9: 391 (8: 19) (9: 391 (3: 31 (7.) 301 (3: 31 (7.)	9) 42) 5.54) 26) 87) 2) 05963) 2.95) 7.49) 2.30) 18)) 1005)	.3·3·3·3·3·3·3·3·3·3·3·3·3·3·3·3·3·3·3·	6 6 6 9001 9001 9001 9001 6 6 773 118 118 118 9001	

Table 6											
of factors ass				arge disp	osition,						
Total Charges		/	rge Home	Con	plications	_					
Estimate	p value	OR	p value	OR	p value						
30	<.0001	1.23	<.0001	1.13	.0024						
01	<.0001	0.97	<.0001	0.99	<.0001						
32	<.0001	1.22	<.0001	0.62	<.0001						
0.22	<.0001	0.76	<.0001	1.35	<.0001						

<.0001 1.52 <.0001 1.96

Conclusions

Table 6: Multivariate analysis

and 30-day complications withi

Variable

Race (ref: White)

Age (year increment) Female gender Charlson index (ref: 0)

ICISS (unit increase) ICISS score: 0=death,

Insurance and racial disparities continue to exist for TBI patients. Insurance status appears to impact short and long-term outcomes to a greater degree than patient race.

<.0001 0.65

<.0001 0.50

21.42

0.38

References

1.Wong, M.D., et al., Contribution of major diseases to disparities in mortality. N Engl J Med, 2002. 347(20): p. 1585-92.

2.Curry, W.T., Jr., B.S. Carter, and F.G. Barker, 2nd, Racial, ethnic, and socioeconomic disparities in patient outcomes after craniotomy for tumor in adult patients in the United States, 1988-2004. Neurosurgery, 2010. 66(3): p. 427-37; discussion 437-8.

3.Shafi, S., et al., Ethnic disparities exist in trauma care. J Trauma, 2007. 63(5): p. 1138-42.

4.Kraus, J.F., et al., The relationship of family income to the incidence, external causes, and outcomes of serious brain injury, San Diego County, California. Am J Public Health, 1986. 76(11): p. 1345-7.

5.Jager, T.E., et al., Traumatic brain injuries evaluated in U.S. emergency departments, 1992-1994. Acad Emerg Med, 2000. 7(2): p. 134-40.