

The Economic Impact of Revision Surgery for Proximal Junctional Failure After Adult Spinal Deformity (ASD) Surgery: A Cost Analysis of 70 Proximal Junctional Failures in 464 ASD Surgeries

A.Theologis MD; C. Zygourakis MD; M.Callahan; D. Lau MD; J. Scheer BS; S. Burch; M. Pekmezci; D.Chou MD; B.Tay MD;

P. Mummaneni MD; S. Berven MD; V. Deviren MD; C. Ames MD

Departments of Orthopedic and Neurological Surgery, University of California, San Francisco

Introduction

Proximal junctional failure (PJF) after fusions for ASD is a major cause of post-op disability. While clinical sequelae are described, PJF-revision operation costs are incompletely defined. We therefore evaluated the economic impact of revision surgery for PJF.

Methods

We performed a retrospective analysis of consecutive adults who underwent thoracolumbar fusions for ASD from 8/2003 to 1/2013 at our institution. Inclusion criteria included instrumentation extending from pelvis to L2 or above, and minimum 2 years follow-up. Direct costs were calculated for the index ASD operation and subsequent operations for PJF. Direct costs included surgical supplies/implants, room/care, and medications, but did not include indirect costs, surgeon fees, or revision operations for indications other than PJF (i.e., infection, pseudarthrosis). Student t-tests were used to compare patients based on the construct's upper instrumented vertebra (UIV): upper thoracic (UT: T1-6) vs. thoracolumbar junction (TLjxn: T9-L2).

Results

Of 578 ASD patients, 410 had complete data and were analyzed. Fifty-one patients [UT:14; TLjxn: 40 at index; average follow-up 39.4 months (7-76 months)] had revisions for PJF, which summed to a total direct cost of \$3.2 million. Average direct cost of index operations for the cohort (\$68,194) was significantly greater than PJF-revisions (\$55,547). Compared to TLjxn, UT had a significantly higher avg cost for index operations (\$80,095vs\$65,701). However, PJF-revision cases were similar in avg cost between groups (UT:\$60,103; TLjxn:\$53,920). The cost of PJF amounted to an additional 11.3% of the cost of the total index surgical cost in 410 patients.

Revision Operations				
	All	TLjxn-UIV	UT-UIV	p-value
Patients	51 (12.4%)	40 (11.8%)	14 [@] (19.7%)	0.08
Cases	57*	42^	19#	n/a
Direct cost (\$)	55,547 ± 15,538	53,920 ± 14,665	\$60,103 ± 17,484	0.09
	(22,263 - 97,883)	(34,586 - 97,883)	(22,263 - 88,888)	
Age	64 ± 9	64 ± 7	64 ± 11	0.47
-	(38 - 78)	(49 - 78)	(38 - 77)	
Gender				
Male	11 (21.6%)	9 (22.5%)	2 (14.3%)	0.71
Female	40 (78.4%)	31 (77.5%)	12 (85.7%)	
BMI	29.7± 6.7	30.3 ± 7.2	28.1 ± 4.6	0.15
	(18.0 - 49.0)	(18.0 - 49.0)	(20.0 - 36.6)	
# Posterior Levels	6.3 ± 2.3	6.7 ± 2.1	5.1 ± 2.6	0.01
Fused	(2.0 - 10.0)	(2.0 - 10.0)	(2.0 - 9.0)	
3CO	21 (36.8%)	14 (33.3%)	7 (36.8%)	0.36
PSO	11 (52.4%)	10 (71.4%)	1 (14.3%)	0.02
VCR	10 (47.6%)	4 (28.6%)	6 (85.7%)	
Extension to Cervical	12 (21.1%)	0 (0.0%)	12 (63.2%)	< 0.01
Spine				
Op time (mins)	319 ± 135	314 ± 135	333 ± 140	0.35
	(142 - 823)	(142 - 823)	(177 - 425)	
EBL (mL)	1,207 - 991	$1,328 \pm 1,084$	893 ± 619	0.08
	(150 - 5,500)	(150 - 5,500)	(200 - 2,500)	
LOS (days)	7.2 ± 3.0	6.6 ± 2.7	9.1 ± 3.1	< 0.01
	(2.0 - 15.0)	(2.0 - 15.0)	(3.0 - 15.0)	

Direct Comparative Costs Index Revision UIV p-value (n=410) (n=57) All \$27,959,453 \$3,166,199 Total Direct n/a (n=410) (n=57) Cost Average \pm SD $$68.194 \pm 21.192 $$55.547 \pm 15.538 < 0.01 (\$22,263 - \$97,883) (\$14.186 - \$151.900)(range) TLjxn Index Total Direct \$22,272,688 \$2,264,652 n/a Cost (n=339) (n=42) $$65.701 \pm 19.891 \$53,920 ± \$14,665 Average ± SD < 0.01 (range) (\$21.133 - \$141.997)(\$34,586 - \$97,883)UT Index Total Direct \$5,686,765 \$901,547 n/a Cost (n=71) (n=15) \$80,095 ± \$23,233 Average ± SD $$60.103 \pm 17.484 < 0.01 (\$14,186-\$151,900) (\$22,263 - \$88,255) (range)

Conclusions

Revisions for PJF after ASD surgery represent a major economic burden with an average cost of nearly \$55,000 per case. Revision costs for PJF are similar based on the index procedure UIV level. PJF comes at very significant economic cost amounting to nearly 3.2 million dollars at a major tertiary center over a 10-year period.